## III-9 DOCUMENTS TO BE SUBMITTED BY THE CHAIR RESEARCH REVIEWS

(Revised 5/24)

All personnel review cases are submitted via AP Folio.

I.	Departmental letter of recommendation
	Accurate and analytical letters of recommendation from the department are essential in the review process. See Red Binder I-35 for further detail of content of departmental recommendations
	For All Cases:
	Are the listed 'current' and 'proposed' salary rates the total salary rate, inclusive of any off-scale supplement?
	If the salary is off-scale or above scale is it rounded to the nearest \$100?
	Is the off-scale supplement correct (if applicable), per off-scale general policies (RB I-8)?
	If a vote was taken, is the final departmental vote included (e.g. 10(yes)-0(no)-0(abstentions)-3(not voting))?  Is there an indication of how many were eligible to vote? Are any no votes explained if the reason is known?
	If no vote was taken, is the review procedure (i.e., committee, chair/director review) explained? Is the letter an accurate, extensive, and analytical representation of the case?
	Are all areas of review covered: research; professional activity; and, university and public service as appropriate?
	If there is a recommendation for an acceleration, are the reasons for the acceleration specifically stated?
	In the case of a negative departmental recommendation, is the basis of the recommendation clearly documented?
	For Career Reviews:
	If the case contains extramural letters, letter writers identified <b>only</b> by coded list, with no identifying statements?
	Does the letter provide an overview of the career accomplishments as well as analysis of the achievements within the most recent review period?
II.	Chair's Separate Confidential Letter (optional) See Red Binder I-35 for further information.
	If a Chair's confidential letter is included is it clearly marked "Chair's Separate Confidential"?
III.	Safeguard Statement_
	The candidate must sign an online safeguard for each departmental recommendation. A signed safeguard must be forwarded with each departmental recommendation. If it is difficult or impossible to obtain this document, the Chairperson should explain the situation and indicate in what manner he/she has attempted to meet the requirements outlined in the form.
	If there are no confidential documents (e.g. external letters), the appropriate box under #5 should be checked.
	Are copies of everything the candidate has provided, or been provided, included with the case (e.g. redacted letters, list of potential evaluators)?
IV.	Bio-bibliographical Update (excluding teaching section).
	Have items previously listed as "In Press" or "Submitted" been accounted for?  Are all items, including "In Press", "Submitted", and "In Progress" properly documented?
	Are publications identified as "refereed" when appropriate?  Have all links to supporting documents and one-of-a-kind items been verified?

Extramural letters of evaluation and list of evaluators (RB I-46) for promotion to the Associate and full level as appropriate for the series (See RB III-12, III-14, III-16)
Extramural Letters
Are the required number of letters included, when appropriate (See RB III-12, III-14, III-16)?
Are at least half of the letters from references chosen by the Chair/Dept independent of the candidate?
Have all letters been coded? Are the codes also on the redacted versions?
If the letters were sent via email, is a copy of the email and any attachment included?
If redacted copies of the letters were provided to the candidate, is a copy included (one copy only), and did they check box 6A on the Procedural Safeguard Statement?
Sample Solicitation Letter(s)and/or Thank you letter(s) for unsolicited letters
Was the proper wording used in the letter (RB I-49 to I-50, III-12, III-14, III-16)?
☐ Is a list of all informational items sent to referees (e.g. CV, bio-bib, publications sent, etc, per RB-51) included? Is a copy of each item included as either part of the case or a one-of-a-kind item? ☐ If different versions of the letters or materials went out, is a sample of each included?
List of Referees, including brief Biography and indicating who selected referees (RB I-46-V)
Do the codes on the letters match the codes on the list and the codes used in the departmental letter?
Does the list clearly indicate if the referees were candidate suggested, department suggested, or independently suggested by both?
Are the names of everyone who was asked to write included? For those who did not respond is a
reason for no response listed?
Self-Assessment of research and/or other activity and accomplishments (optional)
If a self-assessment of research and/or other activity and accomplishments was submitted, is it
included in the case? Self-statements may address research, professional activity, service, or contributions to advancing diversity, equity and inclusion.
Copies of publications.
It is the responsibility of each candidate to maintain copies of published research or other creative work and reviews.
Have all items included in Part I of the bio-bib for the current review period been submitted, including In Press and Submitted items?
Has appropriate evidence been provided for In Press items?
Do all of the titles on the actual publications match those listed on the bio-bib?
For promotion to the Associate level, are all publications included?
Have links to electronically submitted items been verified?
If items cannot be submitted electronically, have arrangements been made with the Academic Personnel office?
If any publications are missing from the file, is a note included noting which are missing and explaining why?
For other career reviews (promotion to Full in any series, advancement to Researcher Step VI or
Above Scale), are all publications since last review, and all or a representative sample of publications from the prior record included?