II-25

DOCUMENTS TO BE SUBMITTED BY THE CHAIR <u>CONTINUING EDUCATORS</u>

(Revised 5/24)

<u>APPOINTMENTS</u>

I.	Departmental letter of recommendation Accurate and analytical letters of recommendation from the department are essential in the review process. See Red Binder I-35 for further detail of content of departmental recommendations: Are the dates of the appointment and the level of the appointment clearly stated?
	☐ Is the recommended salary on the published salary scale? ☐ Is the JPF# from UC Recruit included?
II.	Complete CV and UCSB Academic biography form Is the CV up to date?
	☐ Is the UCSB Academic biography form complete, signed and dated? ☐ Have all links to supporting documents been verified?
III.	Job Description Does the job description address program scope and complexity, degree of independence, level of professional accomplishment required and scope of impact on the campus mission?
IV.	Letters of evaluation and list of evaluators Letters Have all letters been coded?
	If the letters were sent via email, is a copy of the email and any attachment included?
	Sample Solicitation Letter(s) and/or Thank you letter(s) for unsolicited letters Was the proper wording used in the letter (RB I-49 to I-50)?
	Is a list of all informational items sent to referees (e.g. CV, bio-bib, publications sent, etc, per RB I-46-VI)
	included? Is a copy of each item included as either part of the case or a one-of-a-kind item? If different versions of the letters or materials went out, is a sample of each included?
	List of Referees, including brief Biography and indicating who selected referees Do the codes on the letters match the codes on the list and the codes used in the departmental letter?
V.	Supportive documentation Has a representative sampling of supporting documentation been submitted?
Other c	onsiderations:
1.	If a search was conducted, the search report must be approved in UC Recruit before the appointment is submitted. If no search was done, a waiver must have been approved.
2.	The Procedural Safeguard Statement is <u>not</u> used for new appointments. However, candidates for appointment, once appointed, do have the right to inspect non-confidential documents in their files and to have a redacted copy of the confidential academic review records contained in the personnel review file received pursuant to APM 220-

REAPPOINTMENTS

80-i.

I. Departmental letter of recommendation

	Red Binder I-35 for further detail of content of departmental recommendations: Are the dates of the appointment and the level of the appointment clearly stated?
	Is the recommended salary on the published salary scale?
II.	Job Description Is an updated job description included if there have been changes since the last review? If there have not been changes in the job description, does the departmental letter state that fact?
<u>MERI'</u> I.	Departmental letter of recommendation Accurate and analytical letters of recommendation from the department are essential in the review process. See Red Binder I-35 for further detail of content of departmental recommendations:
	☐ Is the letter signed and dated?
	Is the letter an accurate, extensive, and analytical representation of the case?
	☐ If there is a recommendation for an acceleration, are the reasons for the acceleration specifically stated? ☐ In the case of a negative departmental recommendation, is the basis of the recommendation clearly documented?
II.	Updated CV or Bio-bib ☐ Is the CV up to date? ☐ Is the Bio-Bib in the proper format? ☐ Is the Research section a cumulative list of publications (or creative activities) with a line drawn separating all new items from where the bio-bib from the last review case had ended? ☐ Are the numbers the same as in the previously submitted bio-bib, and have items previously listed as "In Press", "Submitted" been accounted for? ☐ Are all items, including "In Press", "Submitted", and "In Progress" properly numbered? ☐ Have all links to supporting documents been verified?
III.	Job Description Is an updated job description included if there have been changes since the last review? If there have not been changes in the job description, does the departmental letter state that fact?
IV.	Safeguard Statement (RB III-5). A signed safeguard must be forwarded with each departmental recommendation. If it is difficult or impossible to obtain this document, the Chairperson should explain the situation and indicate in what manner they have attempted to meet the requirements outlined in the form. Is it signed and dated? If there are no confidential documents (e.g. external letters, minority opinion report), box 6.D. should be
	checked. Are copies of everything the candidate has provided, or been provided, included with the case (e.g. redacted letters, list of potential evaluators)?

IV. Supportive documentation

Has a representative sampling of supportive documentation been submitted, including a sampling of Continuing and Professional Education Programs developed, teaching evaluations or other one-of-as appropriate?	