

To: Department Chairs, Directors, Business Officers and Senate Faculty

From: Cindy Doherty, Director
Academic Personnel

Re: Red Binder updates

A number of revisions to the Red Binder (UCSB campus academic personnel policies and procedures) have been posted at the Academic Personnel web site to be effective February 26, 2021. Significant changes include the following:

- Additional language added to solicitation letters to extramural reviewers asking them to consider the record in light of COVID related constraints.
- Elimination of the use of external letters of evaluation at advancement to Step VI and the addition of guidance regarding evidence departments and reviewing agencies should consider in justifying the national (*or* international) distinction. This change does not impact cases currently under review.

A summary of changes is listed below. The complete Red Binder, as well as the annotated changes are available on the Academic Personnel website at:

<https://ap.ucsb.edu/policies.and.procedures/red.binder/>

Summary of changes

I-6, I-34, I-42, I-46, I-49, I-50, III-12, V-17, V-20	Elimination of letters for advancement to Step VI
I-42	Clarify criteria for advancement to Step VI
I-50, III-12, III-14, III-16, V-17, V-20	Additional wording in solicitation letters regarding COVID related constraints
III-1	Clarify appointment terms for academic researchers
III-20	Use of Research Associate for senate faculty who resign and retain association with UCSB
VI-7	Clarify payroll process for faculty special research leaves
VII-1	Clarify search waiver parameter for represented employees
VIII-11	Correction of time-frame for chair disestablishment to conform to APM
VI-17	Correct earn code for awards
VI-3, VI-4	Clarify total paid leave for GSRs

I-6
CAREER EQUITY REVIEW
(Revised 12/19)

A Career Equity Review (CER) may be initiated by or on behalf of tenured ladder faculty, and Lecturers SOE and Senior Lecturers SOE who are members of the Academic Senate. The CER is designed to examine cases in which normal personnel actions from the initial hiring onward may have resulted in an inappropriate rank and/or step; i.e., a faculty member's rank and/or step is not commensurate with the candidate's merit as assessed in the areas of research, teaching, professional activity, and service and in terms of the standards appropriate to the candidate's field, specialization, and cohort. A CER provides the opportunity to pay special attention to equity in relation to the standards in the discipline and to determine if current placement on the academic ladder is consistent with the application of those standards as they relate to rank and step. Recommendations and decisions will be based on the criteria used for normal promotion and merit reviews; but CERs will consider the entire career record of the individual, as well as recent activity.

A CER is not an alternative to the reconsideration procedures that apply to particular reviews (Red Binder I-10) nor is it an alternative to cases that should be brought before the Committee on Privilege and Tenure. A CER is not intended to address salary compression or other salary issues related to market, therefore, requests for adjustment of off-scale supplement will not be considered. Final decisions of CERs will not be subject to reconsideration or appeal. Reports generated during the CER process will be subject to the same policies and procedures as reports generated during the regular review process. A CER is considered an Expanded Review case and will be subject to review by CAP. CERs may be requested or conducted no more frequently than once every six years. Only faculty who have held an eligible title (see above) for at least four years can be considered for a CER.

Procedure:

A CER may be initiated by the candidate through his or her department in parallel with an advancement case submitted for the faculty member through the regular advancement process, or through the appropriate Dean as a separate personnel action during the same review cycle as an advancement case. A CER may also be recommended to the Associate Vice Chancellor for Academic Personnel by any reviewing agency in the course of a personnel review. The reviewing agency will inform the Associate Vice Chancellor that it believes a CER should be considered and the Associate Vice Chancellor will report this recommendation to the faculty member. The candidate will then decide whether to initiate a CER and, if so, whether to initiate it in the department or with the Dean. Once initiated, it will follow one of the paths outlined below.

Possible justification for a CER may include, but is not limited to, the following: 1) the rank/step was inappropriately low at the time of initial hiring and in consequence the faculty member is currently placed too low on the ladder; 2) the outcome of one or more prior personnel actions has had a negative effect on subsequent personnel reviews, and in consequence the faculty member is currently placed too low on the ladder; 3) specific works and contributions have been overlooked or undervalued by the department or other reviewing agencies and in consequence the faculty member is currently placed too low on the ladder; 4) the faculty member's cumulative record warrants placement higher on the academic ladder.

A CER may be initiated in the following ways:

1. During consideration of a normal advancement, either the candidate or the department may initiate a CER by including a letter with the review file that identifies the area of the record that the candidate or department believes was not previously properly evaluated and/or the area of the record that indicates the candidate was not hired at the rank/step commensurate with the accomplishments at the time of hire. The department must first consider, analyze and vote on the proposed merit/promotion action. The department will then consider if based on the justifications for a CER, further advancement is supported. The candidate's letter will be included in the merit/promotion case that is sent forward by the department.
2. At the time a merit or promotion case is being prepared in the department, a CER may be requested by an individual faculty member through the Dean. The request in such cases will be treated as confidential. The Dean will inform the Associate Vice Chancellor for Academic Personnel of the request for review. The Dean

will then form a confidential ad hoc committee to oversee the assembly of materials for a career review. The Dean will also assure that all appropriate procedures concerning safeguards and access occur as outlined in the Red Binder. The committee will include members of the School or Division, and at the Dean's discretion may contain members of the Department and/or representatives from outside the School or from other UC campuses. The committee will not evaluate the concurrent merit/promotion action but will have access to the departmental letter of recommendation for the pending action. The committee may request additional information from the candidate. The committee will provide an analysis of the CER equivalent in depth to that of a Department letter. The ad hoc committee's dossier, and their letter analyzing the case, will be forwarded to the department for consideration, analysis, and vote. The CER case will then be forwarded along with the merit or promotion case to the Dean and continue through the normal review process for an Expanded Review case.

3. During the course of a normal personnel review, a Dean, CAP or the Associate Vice Chancellor for Academic personnel may recommend a CER. A letter will be sent from the Associate Vice Chancellor to the faculty member informing the faculty member that a reviewing agency has recommended a CER as part of the advancement review. If the faculty member wishes to be considered for a CER, the review may be initiated via either of the two procedures listed above. Reviewing agencies are encouraged to review the files of every academic appointee for appropriate inclusion in the CER program coincident with the normal review cycle. Input from the department chair may be requested via the Associate Vice Chancellor for Academic Personnel if warranted.

Any CER that is initiated by a reviewing agency and that requires review for promotion, merit to Professor VI or merit to Professor Above Scale must contain extramural letters. In the event that the original case does not contain extramural letters, the agency preparing the CER will be responsible for solicitation of such letters.

Because the CER is processed in conjunction with a merit/promotion case, two decisions will be made at the conclusion of the review; one based on the request for CER and one based on activity during the current review cycle. If the CER decision leads to an adjustment of rank and step, the candidate's salary at the new rank and step will include the same off-scale supplement as the salary prior to the review. A final decision for an adjustment in rank and/or step will occur effective the next July 1. No retroactive action will be approved.

DOCUMENTS TO BE SUBMITTED BY THE CHAIR
EXPANDED REVIEW CASES

(Revised 9/20)

All personnel review cases are submitted via AP Folio

I. Departmental letter of recommendation

Accurate and analytical letters of recommendation from the department are essential in the review process. See Red Binder I-75 for further discussion of evaluation of four areas of review and Red Binder I-35 for further detail of content of departmental recommendations

- Is the letter an accurate, extensive, and **analytical** representation of the case?
- Is the final departmental vote included (e.g. 10(yes)-0(no)-0(abstentions)-3(not voting))? Is there an indication of how many were eligible to vote?
- If there is a recommendation for an acceleration, are the reasons for the acceleration specifically stated?
- In the case of a negative or mixed departmental recommendation, is the basis of the recommendation clearly documented?
- If the case contains extramural letters, are letter writers identified **only** by coded list, with no identifying statements?
- If the case is for a career review, does the letter provide an overview of the career accomplishments as well as analysis of the achievements within the most recent review period?
- Are all four areas of review covered: teaching, research, professional activity and university and public service?
- Are contributions to diversity and equal opportunity given appropriate recognition?
- Is all relevant information from the Departmental letter accurately entered on the case up-load screen?

II. Chair's Separate Confidential Letter

See Red Binder I-35 for further information.

- Is the letter clearly marked "Chair's Separate Confidential"?

III. Safeguard and Certification Statement.

The candidate must sign an on-line safeguard and certification statement for each departmental recommendation. If it is difficult or impossible to obtain the required signature, the Chairperson should explain the situation and indicate in what manner he/she has attempted to meet the requirements outlined in the form.

- Has the candidate signed the safeguard and certification statements? The case may not be forwarded until the candidate has signed.
- If there are no confidential documents (e.g. external letters, minority opinion report) the appropriate box under #6 should be checked.
- Are copies of everything the candidate has provided, or been provided, included with the case (e.g. redacted letters, list of potential evaluators)?

IV. Bio-bibliographical Update

- Is it in the proper format?
- Is the Research section a **cumulative** list of publications (or creative activities) with a line drawn separating all new items from where the bio-bib from the last review case had ended?
- Are the numbers the same as in the previously submitted bio-bib, and have items previously listed as "In Press", "Submitted" been accounted for?
- Are all items, including "In Press", "Submitted", and "In Progress" properly numbered?
- Are all teaching evaluations listed as available in the Teaching section of the bio-bib included with the case?
- If sections other than Research are cumulative, are lines drawn showing what is new since the last successful review?
- Have all links to supporting documents and one-of-a-kind items been verified?

- V. **Extramural letters of evaluation** and list of evaluators in cases where extramural letters are required; promotion, merit to Professor Step VI, or merit to Professor Above Scale. (Red Binder I-49)

Extramural Letters

- Are there at least 6 letters, including letters from UC or UC familiar referees?
- Are at least half of the letters from references chosen by the Chair/Dept independent of the candidate?
- Have all letters been coded? Are the codes also on the redacted versions?
- If the letters were sent via email, is a copy of the email and any attachment included?
- If redacted copies of the letters were provided to the candidate, is a copy included (one copy only), and did he/she check box 7A on the Procedural Safeguards Statement?
- Are any anomalies in the composition of reviewers (e.g. less than six letters, letter writer who wrote in previous review, etc.) explained?

Sample Solicitation Letter(s) and/or Thank you letter(s) for unsolicited letters

- Was the proper wording used in the letter (RB I-49 to I-50)?
- Is a list of all informational items sent to referees (e.g. CV, bio-bib, publications sent, etc, per RB I-46-VI) included? Is a copy of each item included as either part of the case or a one-of-a-kind item?
- If different versions of the letters or materials went out, is a sample of each included?

List of Referees, including brief Biography and indicating who selected referees

- Do the codes on the letters match the codes on the list and the codes used in the departmental letter?
- Does the list clearly indicate if the referees were candidate suggested, department suggested, or independently suggested by both?
- Are the names of everyone who was asked to write included? For those who did not respond is a reason for no response listed?

- VI. **Evaluation of the teaching record.**

At a minimum, two sources must be included in the case. ESCI summary sheets and scores for questions A and B are mandatory

- If the B&P printout is used, is it noted which classes have ESCI's?
- If small courses do not have ESCIs is an explanation provided in the departmental letter and an alternate form of teaching evaluation included?
- Does the file accurately indicate which course evaluations were done via hard-copy and which were done on-line?
- Has the second source of teaching been clearly identified on the coversheet?
- If a self-assessment of teaching was submitted, is it included with the case?

- VII. **Self-assessment of other accomplishments and activity (optional).**

- If a self-assessment of activity and accomplishments other than teaching (VI. above) was submitted, is it included in the case? Self-statements may address research, professional activity, service, or contributions to advancing diversity, equity, and inclusion.

- VIII. **Sabbatical leave reports.**

- If any sabbatical leaves were taken during the review period are copies of the reports included with the case?

- IX. **Copies of publications.**

It is the responsibility of each faculty member to maintain copies of published research or other creative work and reviews.

- Have all items included in Part I of the bio-bib for the current review period been submitted, including In Press and Submitted items?
- Has appropriate evidence been provided for In Press items?
- Do all of the titles on the actual publications match those listed on the bio-bib?
- For tenure cases, have you included all publications?
- Have links to electronically submitted items been verified?
- If items cannot be submitted electronically, have arrangements been made with the Dean's office?
- For other career reviews (promotion to Professor, to Step VI, to Above Scale), are all publications since last review, and all or a representative sample of publications from the prior record included?

MERIT TO PROFESSOR OR SENIOR LECTURER SOE STEP VI

(Revised 4/19)

Advancement to Step VI is a career review and therefore is based on a review of the individual's entire academic career.

Advancement to Professor VI is based on evidence of sustained and continuing excellence in each of the following categories: (1) scholarship or creative achievement, (2) University teaching, and (3) University and public service, and (4) professional activity. In addition, great distinction, recognized nationally (or internationally) in scholarly or creative achievement or in teaching is required for merit to Professor VI.

Because external letters of evaluation are not included in advancements to Professor Step VI, a candidate's national (or international) reputation, recognition and impact must be established based on the academic record. The department letter and case should describe the evidence used to make this determination. Examples include, but are not limited to: leadership in a professional capacity at national levels, awards, fellowships, honors, plenary or keynote talks at national conferences or institutions, appointment to editorial boards and advisory boards or other forms of national and international recognition. Appropriate context to establish the influence, distinctiveness, significance, stature, etc. of accomplishments should be provided. Information regarding the prestige and competitiveness of publications or presentation venues, quantitative measures of citations, reprints and translations may also be helpful in establishing the national impact of the research, or creative activity.

Advancement to Senior Lecturer SOE VI is based on evidence of sustained and continued excellence in each of the three review categories ~~with teaching excellence receiving primary consideration~~: (1) teaching and teaching related responsibilities, (2) professional and/or scholarly achievement and activity; and (3) University and public service. *with teaching excellence receiving primary consideration. Sustained and continued excellence must be established based on the academic record, with a focus on the teaching accomplishments and impact. The department letter and case should describe the evidence used to make this determination. Examples include, but are not limited to: ESCIs and student comments, peer evaluation, documentation of new substantive developments in the field or of new and effective techniques of instruction, success as a positive role model or effective mentor for students at all levels, awards or other such acknowledgements of excellent teaching.*

Advancement to Step VI is a career review and therefore is based on a review of the individual's entire academic career.

I-46
GUIDELINES FOR LETTERS OF EVALUATION
(Revised 4/19)

I. Solicited letters

When letters of evaluation are solicited, the models on the following pages should be used. These letters may be modified slightly; for example the confidentiality statement may be listed on a separate sheet as an attachment referenced in the body of the letter. “Please see the attached University of California statement on confidentiality.” Although the content may be rearranged, none should be deleted, nor should substantive information be added, without prior approval by the Office of Academic Personnel. Departments may choose to use a two-stage solicitation process whereby individuals are first asked, by memo or e-mail, if they would be willing to provide a letter. Those that agree will then be sent materials for review.

II. Unsolicited letters

When unsolicited letters of evaluation are received from an individual or institution, a response should be sent which explains the University's position on the confidentiality of such records. See sample wording H, “Sample thank you letter for unsolicited comments.” Unsolicited letter writers should be listed on the list of extramural letter writers and a copy of the thank you letter must be included with the case.

III. Letters for Assistant Professor/Lecturer PSOE Appointments and Restricted letters

Restricted letters or placement files may be used in Assistant Professor/Lecturer PSOE appointment cases of candidates who have not held prior academic positions post-terminal degree. Appointments requested at the Assistant Professor IV or V level, or for candidates who have held prior academic positions post-terminal degree, should preferably contain evaluator letters solicited by the department or submitted as part of the applicant file. Appointment files at the Assistant Professor/Lecturer PSOE level will normally contain at least three external letters.

When letters of evaluation are received from individuals or institutions that have restrictions placed on the use of the materials forwarded, the sending individual must be notified that under applicable University policy and legal standards the department cannot accept and use evaluations under such restricted conditions. There are two reasons:

1. When a candidate is appointed, evaluations considered at the time of appointment become part of his/her permanent academic personnel record.
2. The University is legally required to maintain, for at least two years, documentary materials pertaining to all applicants in a completed search.

In addition, such material may be relevant in litigation in which discrimination in the appointment process is alleged, or in federal or state agency proceedings that inquire into compliance with applicable governmental affirmative action standards. Therefore, when a department receives a file with such limitations on use, the sending individual should be informed that the Department can not accept the material under the conditions stated. Sample wording I, “Restricted Material” may be used in these circumstances. If the sending individual requests that the file not be used, the evaluatory material in the file can not be considered by the department. Placement files from other UC campuses may be used in an appointment case without being considered restricted. However, placement files from any other University must be treated as restricted if the cover sheet includes a statement indicating that the letters will not be used for any personnel case purpose.

IV. Letters for tenured appointments and career advancements

Letters should come from tenured faculty at distinguished institutions, preferably from full professors. Letters from UC familiar reviewers, are necessary for all tenured and SOE appointments, promotions and career reviews advancements to Above Scale. Letters from UC familiar writers are essential for appointment/advancement to step VI and Above Scale and advancement to Above Scale, preferably from faculty already at these senior ranks.

Departments should strive to include at least two UC familiar letters for cases in which such letters are required. At least half of the letters submitted with the case should come from references chosen by the Chair in consultation with the department but independent of the candidate. The letters solicited by the department should come from scholars who have not been closely associated with the candidate as collaborators in research, or as teachers,

colleagues, or personal friends. A minimum of six analytic letters is required. Typically, more than six letters will have to be solicited in order to achieve this minimum.

1. **Appointment cases:** When the department is unsure of the exact rank or step to be proposed, the sample solicitation wording for both levels may be used. For example, the language for appointment as Professor I-V and appointment as Professor VI-IX may both be used if the step is not yet clear.
2. **Advancement cases:** Faculty undergoing **career reviews a promotion review or advancement to Above Scale** have the right to suggest names of potential external evaluators (Red Binder I-22, 7.) The candidate should be advised of the parameters governing the mix of external evaluators. It will be helpful for the candidate to know that a request not to use certain potential evaluators will be made part of the review file and, while such requests may be disregarded (if proper evaluation requires such action), they are made and honored regularly and that a reasonable request should in no way jeopardize the candidate's case. An effort should also be made not to contact individuals who have contributed letters for prior reviews of the same candidate
3. **Lecturer SOE series:** In the Lecturer SOE series letters of evaluation may come from UCSB Senate faculty, external to the department, who have conducted a peer review of the candidate's teaching. Peer evaluation may include classroom visits or videotaping, commentary on course syllabi, reading assignments, and examinations. Such letters may not be substituted for the UC familiar letters, which are expected to be external to UCSB and are subject to the same redaction and confidentiality policies as extramural letters.

Any deviation from the above requirements (i.e. less than two UC familiar evaluators, fewer than six letters, an uneven mix between department and candidate nominated) should be fully explained by the department in the coded list of evaluators.

Any reviewing agency may request, through the Office of Academic Personnel, that the file be augmented by additional extramural letters if the letters supplied with the case are viewed as inadequate for proper evaluation of the case. Since such requests delay the review of the case, it is important that the letters supplied by the department meet the above requirements.

V. List of evaluators

The Chair must submit a list of all persons from whom an extramural letter was solicited (Red Binder I-48). The list must indicate which names were submitted by the candidate and which were submitted by the department. In addition the list must contain the following information for individuals who provide letters: name, position/title, institution, field of expertise, past collaborative relationship with the candidate, and any past reviews for which the letter writer also contributed a letter. Similar information must be provided for any unsolicited letters included in the file. Special attention should be given to describing the qualifications and stature of the extramural referees. For individuals who either did not respond to the initial request to write or declined to write, only their name and home institution need be included on the list. The list should be accompanied by a master copy of the letter requesting evaluation, a list of the materials sent to the letter writers, and a copy of all items that were sent to the referees (e.g., C.V., bibliography, reprints, manuscripts, and so forth) if they are not already included with the case of one-of-a-kind materials. The manner in which referees were selected should be described (e.g., "by departmental ad hoc committee", "by Chair in consultation with three senior colleagues", and so forth). The Chair should ensure that individuals who have provided confidential letters of evaluation are not identified in the departmental letter, except by means of a coded list uploaded appropriately with the case.

VI. Additional Information

If letters are solicited, but the decision by the department is to not forward an advancement case, the letters must be maintained by the department and be included in the next advancement case along with any new letters solicited. However, if the letters are not used within three years, they may be destroyed.

If electronic mail is used to solicit or receive letters of recommendation the sample letter format must be followed, and a printed copy must be retained. Redaction of electronic responses should eliminate all headers and footers that would identify the sender. If the response is sent as an e-mail attachment, the e-mail and the attachment must both be included in the case, both properly redacted.

Letters for appointment cases that are received via UCRecruit should be noted as such on the list of evaluators. The solicitation letter and confidentiality statement are generated automatically by UCRecruit and do not have to be included in the case.

When an individual holds appointments in more than one department (joint appointments), the departments may solicit letters jointly, if appropriate.

Contact between the Chair and individuals from whom letters are being solicited is permissible in order to encourage response, but great care must be taken to not bias or influence the judgment of the referee.

I-49
SAMPLE LETTER FOR SOLICITATION
OF EXTRAMURAL EVALUATION
(Revised 4/19)

Current Date

Name
Department
University

Dear Dr. _____,

[Opening remarks: e.g., I am writing to ask for your assistance in an important matter.]

INSERT APPROPRIATE PARAGRAPH FROM SAMPLES THAT FOLLOW:

- A. Appointment to Assistant Professor
- B. Appointment or Promotion to Associate Professor
- C. Appointment to Professor I-V
- D. Promotion to Professor
- E. Appointment at Professor VI- IX
- ~~F.~~ ~~Merit to Professor VI~~
- ~~F.G.~~ Appointment or Merit to Professor Above Scale
- ~~G. H.~~ Appointment to Lecturer PSOE
- ~~H. I.~~ Appointment or Promotion to Lecturer SOE
- ~~I. J.~~ Appointment or Promotion to Sr. Lecturer SOE
- ~~J. K.~~ Appointment ~~or Merit~~ to Sr. Lecturer SOE VI
- ~~K. L.~~ Appointment or Merit to Sr. Lecturer SOE Above Scale
- ~~L. M.~~ Continuing Lecturer Excellence review
- ~~M. N.~~ Continuing Lecturer promotion to Sr. Lecturer
- ~~N. O.~~ Thank You Letter for Unsolicited Comments
- ~~O. P.~~ Restricted Materials (Non-UC Placement Files)
- ~~P. Q.~~ To Letter Writers from a Prior Review for Amendment or New Letter

[Sample wording for evaluation request: e.g. I would greatly appreciate your evaluation of _____'s work.] While you may not be familiar with all aspects of the record, we appreciate your comments related to those areas with which you are familiar. Please also indicate whether or not you would support the recommended action based on your knowledge of _____ and his/her record.

Although the contents of your letter may be passed on to the candidate at prescribed stages of the review process, your identity will be held in confidence to the extent possible. The material made available will lack the letterhead, the signature block, and relational information material below the latter. Therefore, material that would identify you, particularly your relationship to the candidate, should be placed below the signature block. In any legal proceeding or other situation in which the source of confidential information is sought, the University does its utmost to protect the identity of such sources.

[Closing remarks: e.g., I realize what an imposition on your time these requests are. I want to thank you in advance for your willingness to assist in this matter.]

Sincerely,

Department Chair

WORDING FOR SOLICITATION LETTERS BY PROPOSED ACTION

(Revised 4/19)

Professor seriesA. Appointment to Assistant Professor

_____ is being considered for an appointment as an Assistant Professor in the Department of _____. Appointment to Assistant Professor within the UC system is made in the expectation that the appointee will meet standards for a tenure appointment by the time a promotion decision is due. Recommendations for faculty appointments at this level must indicate clear evidence of potential excellence in both teaching and research.

B. Appointment or Promotion to Associate Professor

_____ is being considered for (an appointment as/ promotion to) Associate Professor in the Department of _____. Appointment (or promotion) to Associate Professor within the UC system includes tenure. The record of performance in (a) teaching, (b) research or other creative work, (c) professional activity, and (d) University and public service is carefully assessed. Reasonable flexibility is used in making personnel judgments, but flexibility does not entail the relaxation of high standards. Superior intellectual attainment, as evidenced both in teaching and in research or other creative achievement, is an indispensable qualification for appointment (promotion) to tenure positions.

For promotion cases add: In assessing the academic record of the candidate, please keep in mind the significant disruptions the University experienced as a result of COVID-19. In March of 2020, just as the Winter Quarter was ending, the UCSB campus was closed and our faculty rapidly transitioned to remote instruction. All campus research facilities including labs and libraries were closed; travel was halted; access to external facilities and achieves ceased; and opportunities for professional engagement and visibility were restricted.

At the same time, many faculty had to provide fulltime childcare or dependent care, as our local daycares and other facilities closed. Some had to work and teach in home environments that presented significant technical and logistical obstacles.

It is our expectation that these unprecedented circumstances be taken into consideration in the evaluation of _____'s contributions since Winter 2020. Although our standards for quality and excellence have not changed, we wish to be realistic about the constraints that faculty experienced during this difficult time, and the impacts and consequences of these limitations on faculty research, even after a return to more normal activities.

[When appropriate in promotion cases add: UCSB encourages its faculty members to consider extensions of the pre-tenure period under circumstances that could interfere significantly with development of the qualifications necessary for tenure. Examples of such circumstances may include birth or adoption of a child, extended illness, or care of an ill family member, *or COVID-19 related hardship*. In such cases, University of California policy requires that the file be evaluated without prejudice as if the work were done in the normative period of service.]

C. Appointment to Professor I-V

_____ is being considered for an appointment as Professor in the Department of _____. The ranks of Associate Professor and Professor within the UC system are tenured. The record of performance in (a) teaching, (b) research or other creative work, (c) professional activity, and (d) University and public service is carefully assessed. A candidate for the rank of Professor is expected to have an accomplished record of research that is judged to be excellent by his or her peers within the larger discipline or field. Reasonable flexibility is used in making personnel judgments, but flexibility does not entail the relaxation of high standards. Superior intellectual attainment, as evidenced both in teaching and in research or other creative achievement, is an indispensable qualification for appointment to a Professor rank position.

D. Promotion to Professor

_____ is being considered for promotion to Professor in the Department of _____. Individuals under

consideration for this rank have attained tenure at the Associate Professor rank. The record of performance in (a) teaching, (b) research or other creative work, (c) professional activity, and (d) University and public service is carefully assessed. A candidate for promotion to the rank of Professor is expected to have an accomplished record of research that is judged to be excellent by his or her peers within the larger discipline or field. Reasonable flexibility is used in making personnel judgments, but flexibility does not entail the relaxation of high standards. Superior intellectual attainment, as evidenced both in teaching and in research or other creative achievement, is an indispensable qualification for promotion to a Professor rank position.

E. Appointment at Professor VI- IX

_____ is being considered for an appointment as Professor [specify step] in the Department of _____. In the University of California, there are nine steps within the rank of Professor. The normal period of service is three years in each of the first five steps. Service at Professor, Step V, may be of indefinite duration. Appointment to Step VI, or higher, calls for evidence of highly distinguished scholarship, highly meritorious service, and evidence of excellent University teaching. In addition, great distinction, recognized nationally or internationally, in scholarly or creative achievement or in teaching is required for appointment at this step.

For promotion cases add: In assessing the academic record of the candidate, please keep in mind the significant disruptions the University experienced as a result of COVID-19. In March of 2020, just as the Winter Quarter was ending, the UCSB campus was closed and our faculty rapidly transitioned to remote instruction. All campus research facilities including labs and libraries were closed; travel was halted; access to external facilities and achieves ceased; and opportunities for professional engagement and visibility were restricted.

At the same time, many faculty had to provide fulltime childcare or dependent care, as our local daycares and other facilities closed. Some had to work and teach in home environments that presented significant technical and logistical obstacles.

It is our expectation that these unprecedented circumstances be taken into consideration in the evaluation of _____'s contributions since Winter 2020. Although our standards for quality and excellence have not changed, we wish to be realistic about the constraints that faculty experienced during this difficult time, and the impacts and consequences of these limitations on faculty research, even after a return to more normal activities

F. Merit to Professor VI

_____ is being considered for advancement to Professor [specify step] in the Department of _____. In the University of California, there are nine steps within the rank of Professor. The normal period of service is three years in each of the first five steps. Service at Professor, Step V, may be of indefinite duration. Advancement to Step VI, or higher, involves an evaluation of the candidate's entire career and calls for evidence of sustained and continuing excellence in each of the following categories: (a) scholarship or creative achievement, (b) University teaching, and (c) University and public service and (d) professional activity. In addition, great distinction, recognized nationally or internationally, in scholarly or creative achievement or in teaching is required for advancement to this step.

FG. Appointment or Merit to Professor Above Scale

_____ is being considered for (an appointment as/ advancement to) Distinguished Professor (Professor Above Scale) in the Department of _____. In the University of California, there are nine steps within the rank of Professor (steps I-IX). Steps VI, VII, VIII, and IX are reserved for highly distinguished scholars. There is one further rank beyond Step IX, Distinguished Professor. Distinguished Professor is the highest rank attainable by a faculty member in the University of California system. (Appointment/advancement) to an Above Scale salary is reserved for the most highly distinguished faculty (a) whose work of sustained and continued excellence has attained national and international recognition, (b) whose teaching performance is excellent, (c) whose University and public service is highly meritorious and (d) whose professional activity is judged to be excellent.

For merit cases add: In assessing the academic record of the candidate, please keep in mind the significant disruptions the University experienced as a result of COVID-19. In March of 2020, just as the Winter Quarter was ending, the UCSB campus was closed and our faculty rapidly transitioned to remote instruction. All campus research facilities including labs and libraries were closed; travel was halted; access to external facilities and achieves ceased; and opportunities for professional engagement and visibility were restricted.

At the same time, many faculty had to provide fulltime childcare or dependent care, as our local daycares and other facilities closed. Some had to work and teach in home environments that presented significant technical and logistical obstacles.

It is our expectation that these unprecedented circumstances be taken into consideration in the evaluation of _____'s contributions since Winter 2020. Although our standards for quality and excellence have not changed, we wish to be realistic about the constraints that faculty experienced during this difficult time, and the impacts and consequences of these limitations on faculty research, even after a return to more normal activities

Lecturer SOE series

G. H. Appointment to Lecturer PSOE

_____ is being considered for an appointment as a Lecturer with Potential Security of Employment (PSOE) in the Department of _____. Appointment to Lecturer PSOE within the UC System requires clear evidence of potential excellence in teaching and promise of productive and creative contributions to professional and/or scholarly activity that would support excellent teaching.

H-I. Appointment or promotion to Lecturer SOE

_____ is being considered for (an appointment as/ promotion to) Lecturer with Security of Employment (SOE) in the Department of _____. Appointment (or promotion) to Lecturer SOE includes assessment of the record of performance in (a) teaching, (b) professional and/or scholarly activity, and (c) University and public service. Consistent and sustained excellence in teaching is an indispensable qualification for appointment (promotion) to Lecturer SOE and is the primary factor for evaluation.

For promotion cases add: In assessing the academic record of the candidate, please keep in mind the significant disruptions the University experienced as a result of COVID-19. In March of 2020, just as the Winter Quarter was ending, the UCSB campus was closed and our faculty rapidly transitioned to remote instruction. All campus

research facilities including labs and libraries were closed; travel was halted; access to external facilities and achieves ceased; and opportunities for professional engagement and visibility were restricted.

At the same time, many faculty had to provide fulltime childcare or dependent care, as our local daycares and other facilities closed. Some had to work and teach in home environments that presented significant technical and logistical obstacles.

It is our expectation that these unprecedented circumstances be taken into consideration in the evaluation of _____'s contributions since Winter 2020. Although our standards for quality and excellence have not changed, we wish to be realistic about the constraintd that faculty experienced during this difficult time, and the impacts and consequences of these limitations on faculty research, even after a return to more normal activities

[When appropriate in promotion cases add: UCSB encourages its faculty members to consider extensions of the pre-tenure period under circumstances that could interfere significantly with development of the qualifications necessary for tenure. Examples of such circumstances may include birth or adoption of a child, extended illness, ~~or~~ care of an ill family member *or COVID-19 related hardship*. In such cases, University of California policy requires that the file be evaluated without prejudice as if the work were done in the normative period of service.]

I.-J. Appointment or promotion to Sr. Lecturer SOE

_____ is being considered for (an appointment as/ promotion to) Sr. Lecturer with Security of Employment (SOE) in the Department of _____. Appointment/promotion to Senior Lecturer with Security of Employment within the UC System includes assessment of the record of performance in (a) teaching, (b) professional and/or scholarly activity, and (c) University and public service. Consistent and sustained excellence in effective teaching and demonstrated distinction in the special competencies appropriate to teaching the particular subject are indispensable qualification for appointment (promotion) to Sr. Lecturer SOE and are the primary factors for evaluation.

For promotion cases add: In assessing the academic record of the candidate, please keep in mind the significant disruptions the University experienced as a result of COVID-19. In March of 2020, just as the Winter Quarter was ending, the UCSB campus was closed and our faculty rapidly transitioned to remote instruction. All campus research facilities including labs and libraries were closed; travel was halted; access to external facilities and achieves ceased; and opportunities for professional engagement and visibility were restricted.

At the same time, many faculty had to provide fulltime childcare or dependent care, as our local daycares and other facilities closed. Some had to work and teach in home environments that presented significant technical and logistical obstacles.

It is our expectation that these unprecedented circumstances be taken into consideration in the evaluation of _____'s contributions since Winter 2020. Although our standards for quality and excellence have not changed, we wish to be realistic about the constraints that faculty experienced during this difficult time, and the impacts and consequences of these limitations on faculty research, even after a return to more normal activities

J. K. Merit Appointment to Sr. Lecturer SOE VI

_____ is being considered for ~~advancement to an appointment as~~ Sr. Lecturer with Security of Employment (SOE) [specify step] in the Department of _____. In the University of California, there are nine steps within the rank of Sr. Lecturer SOE. The normal period of service is three years in each of the first five steps. Service at Sr. Lecturer SOE, Step V, may be of indefinite duration. ~~Advancement Appointment at to~~ Step VI, or higher, involves an evaluation of the candidate's entire career and calls for evidence of sustained and continuing excellence in each of the following categories: (a) teaching, (b) professional and/or scholarly activity, and (c) University and public service. Consistent and sustained excellence in effective teaching and demonstrated distinction in the special competencies appropriate to teaching the particular subject are indispensable qualification for ~~advancement to appointment as~~ Sr. Lecturer VI.

K. L. Appointment or Merit to Sr. Lecturer SOE Above Scale

_____ is being considered for (an appointment as/ advancement to) Distinguished Teaching Professor (Sr. Lecturer with Security of employment (SOE) Above Scale) in the Department of _____. In the University of California, there are nine steps within the rank of Sr. Lecturer SOE (steps I-IX). Steps VI, VII, VIII, and IX are reserved for highly distinguished teachers. There is one further rank beyond Step IX, Distinguished Teaching Professor. Distinguished Teaching Professor is the highest rank attainable by an appointee to the Lecturer SOE series in the University of California system. (Appointment/advancement) to an Above Scale salary is reserved for the most highly distinguished faculty (a) whose contributions to University teaching and education outcomes are excellent; (b) whose work of sustained and continuing excellence has attained national or international recognition and broad acclaim reflective of its significant impact on education within the discipline; and (c) whose service is highly meritorious

For merit cases add: In assessing the academic record of the candidate, please keep in mind the significant disruptions the University experienced as a result of COVID-19. In March of 2020, just as the Winter Quarter was ending, the UCSB campus was closed and our faculty rapidly transitioned to remote instruction. All campus research facilities including labs and libraries were closed; travel was halted; access to external facilities and achieves ceased; and opportunities for professional engagement and visibility were restricted.

At the same time, many faculty had to provide fulltime childcare or dependent care, as our local daycares and other facilities closed. Some had to work and teach in home environments that presented significant technical and logistical obstacles.

It is our expectation that these unprecedented circumstances be taken into consideration in the evaluation of _____'s contributions since Winter 2020. Although our standards for quality and excellence have not changed, we wish to be realistic about the constraints that faculty experienced during this difficult time, and the impacts and consequences of these limitations on faculty research, even after a return to more normal activities

Continuing Lecturers

L. M: Continuing Lecturer Excellence review

_____ is being considered for review to be appointed as Lecturer, Continuing Appointment in the Department of _____. Appointment beyond six years as a Lecturer within the UC system includes the right to a Continuing Appointment so long as the University determines that the instructional need exists and that the instructional performance of the lecturer is excellent. The record of performance in teaching is carefully assessed and the standard of excellence is an indispensable qualification for appointment beyond six years.

In assessing the academic record of the candidate, please keep in mind the significant disruptions the University experienced as a result of COVID-19. In March of 2020, just as the Winter Quarter was ending, the UCSB campus was closed and our faculty rapidly transitioned to remote instruction. All campus research facilities including labs and libraries were closed; travel was halted; access to external facilities and achieves ceased; and opportunities for professional engagement and visibility were restricted.

At the same time, many faculty had to provide fulltime childcare or dependent care, as our local daycares and other facilities closed. Some had to work and teach in home environments that presented significant technical and logistical obstacles.

It is our expectation that these unprecedented circumstances be taken into consideration in the evaluation of _____'s contributions since Winter 2020. Although our standards for quality and excellence have not changed, we wish to be realistic about the constraints that faculty experienced during this difficult time, and the impacts and consequences of these limitations on faculty research, even after a return to more normal activities

M. N: Continuing Lecturer promotion to Sr. Lecturer

_____ is being considered for a promotion to Senior Lecturer, Continuing Appointment in the Department of _____. Appointment beyond six years as a Lecturer within the UC system includes the right to a Continuing Appointment so long as the University determines that the instructional need exists and that the instructional performance of the lecturer is excellent. The record of performance in teaching is carefully assessed and the standard of excellence is an indispensable qualification for appointment beyond six years. _____ completed a review for Lecturer, Continuing Appointment in ____ and is now being considered for promotion to the rank of Senior Lecturer, Continuing Appointment. Along with continued excellence in the area of teaching, promotion to the Senior rank requires service of exceptional value to the university. Service activities may include departmental

or campus governance or activities that involve the candidate's professional expertise in a context outside the University's environment.

In assessing the academic record of the candidate, please keep in mind the significant disruptions the University experienced as a result of COVID-19. In March of 2020, just as the Winter Quarter was ending, the UCSB campus was closed and our faculty rapidly transitioned to remote instruction. All campus research facilities including labs and libraries were closed; travel was halted; access to external facilities and achieves ceased; and opportunities for professional engagement and visibility were restricted.

At the same time, many faculty had to provide fulltime childcare or dependent care, as our local daycares and other facilities closed. Some had to work and teach in home environments that presented significant technical and logistical obstacles.

It is our expectation that these unprecedented circumstances be taken into consideration in the evaluation of _____'s contributions since Winter 2020. Although our standards for quality and excellence have not changed, we wish to be realistic about the constraints that faculty experienced during this difficult time, and the impacts and consequences of these limitations on faculty research, even after a return to more normal activities

All series

N. Θ. Sample Thank You Letter for Unsolicited Comments

Use the sample letter, modifying as follows:

[Opening remarks: e.g., Thank you for sending us your letter of recommendation regarding _____ who is currently under consideration for an appointment in our department. I would like to inform you that

[Confidentiality paragraph]

I would appreciate if you would inform me whether, in light of our policies, we may proceed with the use of your letter in the personnel file or if you wish it to be destroyed. If you do not respond by _____ the materials will be maintained in our files.

O-P. Sample Letter for Restricted Materials (Non-UC Placement Files)

Use the sample letter, modifying as follows:

We have received your letter of evaluation regarding _____ who is currently under consideration for an appointment in our department. This letter was received as part of a placement file from _____ which states that this material (not be made part of the individual personnel file/be returned to you after we have completed our use of it/be destroyed after we have completed our use of it/etc.) I am writing to inform you that we are unable to accept and use the material you sent with the constraint on its use that you have stated, and to explain why we are unable to do so.

Under University of California policy, evaluatory material about an individual who is (appointed to an academic position/being considered for promotion) becomes part of the individual's permanent personnel record. (In addition, we are required under applicable legal standards to retain in our files for at least two years documentary material that we have considered on all applicants for a position that has been filled.)

[Confidentiality paragraph here]

I would appreciate if you would inform me whether, in light of our policies, we may proceed to use the material from the placement file, or whether you wish us to destroy the materials without using them in the file. If you do not respond by _____ the materials will be maintained in our files.

P-Q. To Letter Writers from a Prior Review for Amendment or New Letter

Last year you were kind enough to provide an evaluation of _____'s work in consideration of advancement to _____. We appreciate your time and attention in preparing that letter. For institutional reasons, [we did not pursue the case at that time] or [further consideration of this proposed action is currently taking place]. Your earlier evaluation is now part of the official record (copy enclosed). I write to inform you that you may, if you wish, at this time add further comments or an update letter to be included in the record. We certainly encourage you to do so. We are enclosing _____'s current vita and publications to assist in your update.

III-1
TEMPORARY RESEARCH APPOINTMENTS
General Information
(Revised 2/20)

Titles in this section are to be used for individuals involved in research and do not have formal teaching responsibilities. Questions concerning the use of staff titles for individuals involved in research should be directed to Human Resources.

Policies

The campus policies for Discipline and Dismissal (Red Binder IX-20), Non-Senate Academic Grievances (Red Binder IX-25), and Layoff and Involuntary Reduction in Time (Red Binder IX-30) are applicable to non-represented appointees in this section. Represented appointees in these series are governed by the applicable Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) articles on Corrective Action and Dismissal (Article 6), Grievances and Arbitration (Article 7) and Layoff and Reduction in Time (Article 11).

The campus policy and procedures for recruitment are set forth in Red Binder Section VII.

Deadlines for submission of merit/promotion requests

All merits and promotions for individuals in the Professional Research, Specialist, and Project Scientist series will be effective July 1.

Requests for advancement must be submitted according to the following schedule:

<u>Series</u>	<u>Submit to:</u>	<u>Due Date</u>
Professional Research		
Academic Departments	Dean's Office	March 1
ORUs	Academic Personnel	March 1
Project Scientist, Specialist	Academic Personnel	April 1

Service limitations

For all series, six months or more of service, with or without salary, in any fiscal year counts as one full year of service for advancement eligibility purposes.

Initial appointments *and reappointments prior to the effective date of the first advancement review* for represented employees must be for one-year unless a shorter term may be justified based on the work, funding, or programmatic need. *Reappointments prior to the first advancement review must be for a minimum of one year.* Reappointments following the first advancement review must be for a minimum of the normative time at rank and step.

For non-represented employees, appointments or reappointments are normally made for one year at a time, *but may be longer.* All appointments are term appointments with a stated end date.

Appointees in research series may be placed on Short Work Break in accord with Red Binder VI-18 and the MOU.

No further notice of non-reappointment is necessary for appointments at less than 50% for any period of time, or for appointment of less than eight consecutive years in the same title or series.

Notice of non-reappointment must be given if the employee has served at 50% or more for eight or more consecutive years in the same title or series (APM 137-30) and Articles 21, 22, and 26 of the MOU. Written Notice of Intent not to reappoint must be given at least 60 days prior to the appointment's specified end date. The notice must state (1) the intended non-reappointment and the proposed effective date; (2) the basis for non-reappointment including copies of any supporting documentation; and (3) the employees right to respond within 14 days and the name of the person to whom they should respond. Within 30 days of the Notice of Intent, and after review of any response, the University will issue a written Notice of Action to the employee. Pay in lieu of notice may be given.

Recall appointments in any temporary research title may not exceed 43% time, alone or in combination with other recall appointments. Appointments are requested using the [Academic Recall Appointment Form](#). Recall appointments are to be entered into UCPATH using the Recall Non-Faculty Academic title (3802 or 3812).

Titles not specifically discussed in the Red Binder may not be used without prior approval by the Academic Personnel Office and will be subject to campus practice and APM policy.

III-12
PROFESSIONAL RESEARCH SERIES
(Revised 2/20)

I. Definition

The titles in this series are given only to those who engage in independent research equivalent to that required for the Professor series. Individuals whose duties are defined as making significant and creative contributions to a research project, or to providing technical assistance to research activity should not be appointed in this series. For use of the Visiting prefix with this series, see Red Binder III-23. Represented employees in this series are governed by the applicable Memorandum of Understanding (MOU.) Article 21 of the MOU provides guidance specific to the Professional Research series.

II. Ranks and Steps

- A. Assistant Research _____ I – V (Steps V is considered a “special step”)
- B. Associate Research _____ I – IV (Step IV is considered a “special step”)
- C. Research _____ I –IX

The normal time of service at each step within the Assistant and Associate rank is 2 years, except for service at the special steps of Assistant Researcher V and Associate Researcher IV (Red Binder I-4, II). Within the Researcher rank normal service at Steps I-IV is 3 years. Service at Step V and above may be for an indefinite time: however, normal service is 3 years at Steps V through VIII and 4 years at Step IX and within Above Scale. Eligibility for normal advancement occurs after the normal time of service at each step. If not advanced in step at that time, the candidate will continue to be eligible each year until advancement in step occurs.

III. Appointment and Advancement Criteria

The candidate must possess a doctorate or its equivalent at the time of initial appointment. The candidate will be judged based on the following criteria:

- A. Research qualifications and accomplishments equivalent to those for the Professor series, including demonstrated continuous and effective engagement in independent and creative activity of high quality and significance.
- B. Professional competence and activity equivalent to those for the Professor series.
- C. University and/or public service at the Associate Researcher and Researcher ranks.

An individual who currently holds a Research series appointment at UCSB and participates in research activities in a department or program in which he/she does not hold a salaried appointment may receive affiliated status in the host department or program.

- a. The host department or program will be required to provide a statement of activities to be carried out under the affiliated status. The affiliated status may be for a specific time period or may be indefinite, as long as the primary paid appointment is active.
- b. The chair/director of both the home and host department must endorse the request.
- c. Affiliated status appointments are not entered into the payroll system, but will be tracked in AP Folio.

IV. Term of Appointment

- A. Service as Assistant Researcher is limited to eight years of service. Six months or more of service within any fiscal year, either paid or without salary, as an Assistant Researcher or Visiting Assistant Researcher counts towards the eight-year limit.
- B. Appointments or reappointments are to be made based on the service limitations indicated in Red

Binder III-1 and, for represented employees, in the MOU.

V. Compensation

- A. Individuals appointed to this series are compensated on the salary scales established for the Professional Research series on a fiscal year (11 months) basis. The Economics/ Engineering Professional Research salary scales will be used when either:
1. The unit is an Engineering unit (departments and research units reporting to the Dean of Engineering) or the Department of Economics
or:
 2. The unit is multi or interdisciplinary and includes both engineering or economics and other disciplinary activity (for example: CNSI, ICB, MATP). In this case two additional criteria must be met: a) The individual's background and training is in engineering or economics, and b) The project with which the individual is associated is an engineering or economics project.

When option #2 is used, the justification for use of the Engineering scale must be clearly stated in the departmental appointment recommendation.

- B. In most cases, a Research series appointment will be a salaried position. Without salary status may be appropriate for short periods of time, for example if the Researcher is self-funded as a PI or co-PI. A without salary appointment in this series is not appropriate if the individual holds a primary affiliation with and is funded by another academic institution or outside agency.
- C. Salaries are subject to range adjustment.
- D. Each source which provides compensation for service in this series must permit research.
- E. Off-scale salaries are allowed within the same limits and policies as ladder faculty off-scale salaries. (Red Binder I-8)

VI. Requests for Appointment, Reappointment, and Advancement

Appointment

Appointment cases are to be submitted via AP Folio and using the checklist of documents to be submitted by the Chair for appointments (Red Binder III-7). Particular attention should be paid to assuring the Departmental letter provides justification demonstrating the equivalence of the requested position to the same level faculty position, and an analytical evaluation of the candidate and his or her accomplishments.

Reappointment

Reappointments are to be submitted via the reappointment and modification module of AP Folio.

Advancement: Merit and Promotion

Advancement cases are to be submitted via AP Folio and using the checklist of documents to be submitted by the chair for research reviews (Red Binder III-9). Red Binder I-22, Departmental Checklist for Academic Advancement may also be used as a guideline for departmental review. All advancement actions are based on the individual's achievements. Normal advancement will occur after 2 years at step at the Assistant or Associate level and after 3 years at the Full Research level steps I-VIII, and after 4 years at step IX or within Above Scale. Any advancement requested prior to that time will be considered an acceleration and must be justified as such. Merit increases are based on the academic record since the time of last review while promotions, merit to Researcher VI and merit to Researcher Above Scale are based on the career academic record.

All merits and promotions will be effective July 1. Completed cases must be submitted to the Academic Personnel Office or Dean's Office, as appropriate, by **March 1**, preceding the effective date. Cases received after the due date will be returned to the Department and will not be processed. A missed deadline may not be used as justification for retroactivity in a future review.

Requests for deferral of non-mandatory reviews must be submitted by the deadline established by the department. Appointees in the Research series must undergo a performance review at least once every five

years, including an evaluation of the researcher's record in all review areas. This review may not be deferred. If the candidate does not turn in materials by the departmental due date, the department will conduct the review based on the materials available in the department as of the due date.

In cases where the final decision is a lesser advancement than recommended by the department, a reconsideration may be requested. Procedures outlined in Red Binder I-10 must be followed.

Chair/Director Letters of Recommendation

The Chair/Director's letter of recommendation for appointment or advancement should include an evaluation of the candidate's record in all review areas (see III Appointment and Advancement criteria, above). The evaluation is expected to meet the standards set forth in APM 310 which prescribes that candidates for appointment or advancement in the Research series have research qualifications equivalent to those of the corresponding ladder faculty rank. Each unit should establish set procedures for evaluation of Research appointments and advancements and development of the letter of recommendation. While a full review completed by a departmental committee knowledgeable of the candidate's field is preferred, in cases where this is not appropriate, a review done solely by the Chair, Director or P.I. is acceptable. If a committee is not formed, an explanation should be provided in the letter of recommendation. Red Binder I-35 provides additional guidance on developing the letter of recommendation.

Bio-Bibliography

It is the responsibility of each Researcher to maintain an up to date bio-bibliography (bio-bib). The bio-bib should contain information ending at the campus cut-off date of December 31, or the date established by the candidate's department if an earlier date has been established. Information that falls beyond that date will not be considered in the review. Bio-bibs must follow the bio-bib template available in the Forms section of the Academic Personnel web-site, and the instructions in Red Binder I-27 excluding the Teaching section

External Evaluation

External letters of evaluation will be required in cases of: appointment as Associate Researcher, appointment as Researcher, promotion to Associate Researcher, promotion to Researcher, **merit to Researcher, Step VI** and merit to Researcher Above Scale. A minimum of 4 letters must be included **for appointment or promotion to** at the Associate level. A minimum of 6 **letters must be included for appointment or promotion to** at the Full Researcher level, **or for advancement to Above Scale**. **In addition to the foregoing, recommendations for promotion or advancement to Researcher, Step VI must include at least 6 extramural evaluations from references.** At least half of the letters submitted with the case should come from references chosen by the Department or Program independent of the candidate. Letters from faculty or researchers at other UC campuses are essential **for appointment/advancement to Research VI or higher, or advancement to Above scale**, preferably from individuals already at the senior ranks. Solicitations of extramural evaluations should not merely ask for opinions regarding the suitability of the candidate for promotion, but should invite analytical evaluations of the candidate's research with respect to quality and significance. Reviewing agencies reserve the right to request letters be solicited in any advancement case if it is determined that more information is necessary to support the proposed action.

In all cases of solicitation of outside letters, the sample letter for solicitation of extramural letters (Red Binder I-49) is to be used.

For promotion or appointment to Associate Researcher, the following wording should be inserted as appropriate:

_____ is being considered for (an appointment/promotion to) Associate Researcher in the (department/unit). Appointment (or promotion) to Associate Researcher within the UC system requires a research record equivalent to that of an Associate Professor. Superior intellectual attainment in research is an indispensable qualification for appointment or promotion to Associate Researcher. [Sample wording for evaluation request: e.g., I would greatly appreciate your evaluation of _____'s work.]

For promotion cases add: In assessing the academic record of the candidate, please keep in mind the significant disruptions the University experienced as a result of COVID-19. In March of 2020, just as the Winter Quarter was

ending, the UCSB campus was closed and our faculty rapidly transitioned to remote work. All campus research facilities including labs and libraries were closed; travel was halted; access to external facilities and achieves ceased; and opportunities for professional engagement and visibility were restricted.

At the same time, many employees had to provide fulltime childcare or dependent care, as our local daycares and other facilities closed. Some had to work and teach in home environments that presented significant technical and logistical obstacles.

It is our expectation that these unprecedented circumstances be taken into consideration in the evaluation of _____'s contributions since Winter 2020. Although our standards for quality and excellence have not changed, we wish to be realistic about the constraints that employees experienced during this difficult time, and the impacts and consequences of these limitations on research, even after a return to more normal activities

[When appropriate in promotion cases add: UCSB encourages its faculty members to consider extensions of the pre-tenure period under circumstances that could interfere significantly with development of the qualifications necessary for tenure. Examples of such circumstances may include birth or adoption of a child, extended illness, care of an ill family member, or COVID-19 related hardship. In such cases, University of California policy requires that the file be evaluated without prejudice as if the work were done in the normative period of service.]

For promotion or appointment to full Researcher, the following wording should be inserted as appropriate:

_____ is being considered for (an appointment/promotion to) Researcher in the (department/unit). Appointment (or promotion) to Researcher within the UC system requires a research record equivalent to that of a Professor. A candidate for this position is expected to have an accomplished record of research that is judged to be excellent by his or her peers within the larger discipline or field. [Sample wording for evaluation request: e.g., I would greatly appreciate your evaluation of _____'s work]

For promotion cases add: In assessing the academic record of the candidate, please keep in mind the significant disruptions the University experienced as a result of COVID-19. In March of 2020, just as the Winter Quarter was ending, the UCSB campus was closed and our faculty rapidly transitioned to remote work. All campus research facilities including labs and libraries were closed; travel was halted; access to external facilities and achieves ceased; and opportunities for professional engagement and visibility were restricted.

At the same time, many employees had to provide fulltime childcare or dependent care, as our local daycares and other facilities closed. Some had to work and teach in home environments that presented significant technical and logistical obstacles.

It is our expectation that these unprecedented circumstances be taken into consideration in the evaluation of _____'s contributions since Winter 2020. Although our standards for quality and excellence have not changed, we wish to be realistic about the constraints that employees experienced during this difficult time, and the impacts and consequences of these limitations on research, even after a return to more normal activities

For ~~merit or~~ appointment to Researcher, Step VI through Step IX, the following wording should be inserted as appropriate:

_____ is being considered for (an appointment/~~advancement~~ to) Researcher [specify step] in the (dept/unit). In the UC system there are 9 steps within the rank of Researcher. The normal period of service is three years in each of the first five steps. Service at Research, Step V, may be of indefinite duration. ~~Advancement to~~ Appointment at Step VI will be granted on evidence of highly distinguished scholarship, highly meritorious service, and evidence of excellence in research, and in addition, great distinction recognized nationally or internationally, in research. [Sample wording for evaluation request: e.g., I would greatly appreciate your evaluation of _____'s work]

For appointment as, or merit advancement to Researcher Above Scale, the following wording should be inserted as appropriate:

_____ is being considered for (an appointment as/ advancement to) Researcher Above Scale in the Department of _____. In the University of California, there are nine steps within the rank of Researcher. Steps VI, VII, VIII, and IX are reserved for highly distinguished scholars.

(Appointment/advancement) to an Above Scale salary is reserved for scholars of the highest distinction, whose work has been internationally recognized and acclaimed. [Sample wording for evaluation request: e.g., I would greatly appreciate your evaluation of _____'s work.]

For promotion cases add: In assessing the academic record of the candidate, please keep in mind the significant disruptions the University experienced as a result of COVID-19. In March of 2020, just as the Winter Quarter was ending, the UCSB campus was closed and our faculty rapidly transitioned to remote work. All campus research facilities including labs and libraries were closed; travel was halted; access to external facilities and achieves ceased; and opportunities for professional engagement and visibility were restricted.

At the same time, many employees had to provide fulltime childcare or dependent care, as our local daycares and other facilities closed. Some had to work and teach in home environments that presented significant technical and logistical obstacles.

It is our expectation that these unprecedented circumstances be taken into consideration in the evaluation of _____'s contributions since Winter 2020. Although our standards for quality and excellence have not changed, we wish to be realistic about the constraints that employees experienced during this difficult time, and the impacts and consequences of these limitations on research, even after a return to more normal activities

VII. Approval Authority

Action

All actions

Authority

Associate Vice Chancellor for Academic Personnel

III-14
PROJECT SCIENTIST SERIES
(Revised 2/20)

I. Definition

The titles in this series are given only to those who make significant and creative contributions to a research or creative project. Appointees may be ongoing members of a research team, or may contribute high-level skills to a specific project for a limited time. Demonstrated capacity for fully independent research or research leadership as required in the Researcher series are not required in this series. However, a broad range of knowledge and competency and a higher level of independence than appointees in the Specialist series are expected. See APM 311 for System Wide policy on Project Scientists. See Red Binder III-23 for procedures for Visiting appointments in this series. Represented employees in this series are governed by the applicable Memorandum of Understanding (MOU). Article 22 of the MOU provides guidance specific to the Project Scientist series.

II. Ranks and Steps

- A. Assistant Project Scientist I – V (Step V is considered a “special step”)
- B. Associate Project Scientist I – IV (Step IV is considered a “special step”)
- C. Project Scientist I –IX

The normal time of service at each step within the Assistant and Associate rank is 2 years, except for service at the special steps of Assistant Project Scientist V and Associate Project Scientist IV (Red Binder I-4, II). Within the Project Scientist rank normal service at Steps I-IV is 3 years. Service at Step V and above may be for an indefinite time; however, normal service is 3 years at Steps V through VIII and 4 years at Step IX and within Above Scale. Eligibility for normal advancement occurs after the normal time of service at each step. If not advanced in step at that time, the candidate will continue to be eligible each year until advancement in step occurs.

III. Appointment and Advancement Criteria

The candidate must possess a doctorate or its equivalent at the time of initial appointment. The candidate will be judged based on the following criteria:

- A. Demonstrated significant, original, and creative contributions to a research or creative program or project
- B. Professional competence and activity

University and public service are encouraged but not required.

IV. Term of Appointment

- A. Appointments or reappointments are to be made based on the service limitations indicated in Red Binder III-1 and, for represented employees, in the MOU.
- B. There are no limits on service at any level in this series.

V. Compensation

- A. Individuals appointed to this series are compensated on the salary scales established for the Project Scientist series on a fiscal year (11 months) basis. The Economics/Project Scientist salary scale will be used when either:

- 1. The unit is an Engineering unit (departments and research units reporting to the Dean of Engineering) or the Department of Economics

or:

2. The unit is multi or interdisciplinary and includes both engineering or economics and other disciplinary activity (for example: CNSI, ICB, MATP). In this case two additional criteria must be met: a) The individual's background and training is in engineering or economics, and b) The project with which the individual is associated is an engineering or economics project.

When option #2 is used, the justification for use of the Engineering scale must be clearly stated in the departmental appointment recommendation

- B. In most cases, a Project Scientist appointment will be a salaried position. Without salary status may be appropriate for short periods of time, for example if the Project Scientist is self-funded as a PI or co-PI. A without salary appointment is not appropriate if the individual holds a primary affiliation with and is funded by another academic institution or outside agency.
- C. Salaries are subject to range adjustment.
- D. Each source which provides compensation for service in this series must permit research.
- E. Off-scale salaries are allowed within the same limits and policies as ladder faculty off-scale salaries. (Red Binder I-8)

VI. Requests for Appointment and Advancement

Appointment

Appointment cases are to be submitted via AP Folio and using the checklist of documents to be submitted by the Chair for appointments (Red Binder III-7). Particular attention should be paid to assuring the department provides justification for the level of appointment and analytical evaluation of the candidate and his or her accomplishments.

Reappointment

Reappointments are to be submitted via the reappointment and modification module of AP Folio.

Advancement: Merit and Promotion

Advancement cases are to be submitted via AP Folio and using the checklist of documents to be submitted by the chair for research reviews (Red Binder III-9). All advancement actions are based on the individual's achievements. Normal advancement will occur after 2 years at step at the Assistant or Associate level and after 3 years at the Full Project Scientist level steps I-VIII and after 4 years at step IX or within Above Scale. Any advancement requested prior to that time will be considered an acceleration and must be justified as such. Merit increases are based on the academic record since the time of last review while promotions are based on the career academic record.

All merits and promotions will be effective July 1. Completed cases must be submitted to the Academic Personnel Office by **April 1**, preceding the effective date. Cases received after the due date will be returned to the Department and will not be processed. A missed deadline may not be used as justification for retroactivity in a future review.

Requests for deferral of non-mandatory reviews must be submitted by the deadline established by the department. Appointees in the Project Scientist series must undergo a performance review at least once every five years, including an evaluation of the record in all review areas. This review may not be deferred. If the candidate does not turn in materials by the departmental due date, the department will conduct the review based on the materials available in the department as of the due date.

Chair/Director Letters of Recommendation

The Chair/Director's letter of recommendation for appointment or advancement should include an evaluation of the candidate's record in all review areas (see III Appointment and Advancement Criteria, above). Each unit should establish set procedures for evaluation of Project Scientist appointments and advancements and development of the letter of recommendation. While review done solely by the Director

or PI is acceptable at the Assistant Project Scientist level, a fuller review, including input from other equal or higher ranking individuals in the unit is preferable for Associate Project Scientist and Project Scientist level actions. Red Binder I-35 provides additional guidance on developing the letter of recommendation.

Bio-Bibliography

It is the responsibility of each Project Scientist to maintain an up to date bio-bibliography (bio-bib). The bio-bib should contain information ending at the campus cut-off date of January 31, or the date established by the candidate's department if an earlier date has been established. Information that falls beyond that date will not be considered in the review. Bio-bibs must follow the bio-bib template available in the Forms section of the Academic Personnel web-site, and the instructions in Red Binder I-27 excluding the Teaching section.

External Evaluation

External letters of evaluation are normally required in cases of: appointment as Associate Project Scientist, appointment as Project Scientist, promotion to Associate Project Scientist, and promotion to Project Scientist. A minimum of four letters at the Associate level, and six at the Full Project Scientist level should be included. Due to the nature of Project Scientist positions, it is possible that in some cases solicitation of internal letters of evaluation are more helpful. Internal evaluators are defined as external to the employing unit, but internal to UCSB. In these cases, the decision to solicit from internal sources should be clearly discussed in the departmental letter. Reviewing agencies reserve the right to request that additional letters be solicited in any appointment or advancement case if it is determined that more information is necessary to support the proposed action.

When letters are solicited either externally or internally, the sample letter for solicitation of extramural evaluators (Red Binder I-49) is to be used, with the following wording inserted as appropriate:

_____ is being considered for (an appointment/promotion to) Associate Project Scientist/Project Scientist in the (department/unit). Appointment (or Promotion) to Associate Project Scientist/Project Scientist within the UC system requires evaluation in the areas of: 1) Demonstrated significant, original, and creative contributions to a research or creative program or project, 2) Professional competence and activity. [Sample wording for evaluation request: e.g., I would greatly appreciate your evaluation of _____'s work.]

For promotion cases add: In assessing the academic record of the candidate, please keep in mind the significant disruptions the University experienced as a result of COVID-19. In March of 2020, just as the Winter Quarter was ending, the UCSB campus was closed and our faculty rapidly transitioned to remote work. All campus research facilities including labs and libraries were closed; travel was halted; access to external facilities and achieves ceased; and opportunities for professional engagement and visibility were restricted.

At the same time, many employees had to provide fulltime childcare or dependent care, as our local daycares and other facilities closed. Some had to work and teach in home environments that presented significant technical and logistical obstacles.

It is our expectation that these unprecedented circumstances be taken into consideration in the evaluation of _____'s contributions since Winter 2020. Although our standards for quality and excellence have not changed, we wish to be realistic about the constraints that employees experienced during this difficult time, and the impacts and consequences of these limitations on research, even after a return to more normal activities

In rare circumstances it may be appropriate to waive the requirement for letters of evaluation. Requests to waive letters must be submitted to the Associate Vice Chancellor for Academic Personnel prior to submission of the appointment or promotion case.

VII. Approval Authority

Action

All actions

Authority

Associate Vice Chancellor for Academic Personnel

III-16
SPECIALIST SERIES
(Revised 2/20)

I. Definition

The Specialist series is used for academic appointees who engage in specialized research, professional activity, and University and/or public service, and who do not have any teaching responsibilities. See APM 330 for System Wide policy on Specialists. Represented employees in this series are governed by the applicable Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) Article 26 of the MOU provides guidance specific to the Specialist series.

II. Ranks and Steps

- A. Jr. Specialist I-II
- B. Assistant Specialist I - III
- C. Associate Specialist I - IV
- D. Specialist I - IX

III. Appointment and Advancement Criteria

Appointees to the Specialist series are expected to use their professional expertise to make scientific and scholarly contributions to the research enterprise of the University and to achieve recognition in the professional and scientific community. Specialists may participate in University and/or public service depending upon funding source and the duties of the position.

The following qualifications are general guidelines for each rank:

Junior Specialist: Appointees should possess a baccalaureate degree (or equivalent degree) or have equivalent research experience. Appointees at this level enable research as part of a team

Assistant Specialist: Appointees should possess a master's degree (or equivalent degree) or have five years of experience demonstrating expertise in the relevant specialization. Appointees at this level enable research as part of a team and may provide some independent input into the planning and execution of the research.

Associate Specialist: Appointees should possess a master's degree (or equivalent degree) or have five to ten years of experience demonstrating expertise in the relevant specialization. Appointees normally provide considerable independent input into the planning and execution of the research, have a record of academic accomplishments, including contributions to published research in the field, and a demonstrated record of University and/or public service.

Specialist: Appointees should possess a terminal degree (or equivalent degree) or have ten or more years of experience demonstrating expertise in the relevant specialization. Appointees normally provide considerable independent input into the planning and execution of the research, have a significant record of academic accomplishments, including contributions to published research in the field, and a demonstrated record of University and/or public service.

Specialists appointed into the series prior to July 1, 2015 are not subject to the degree and experience requirements listed above.

In judging a candidate for appointment or promotion to a position in this series, the following criteria are provided as guidelines and may be used flexibly where deemed necessary.

- 1. Performance in research in the defined area of expertise and specialization.
- 2. Professional competence and activity.
- 3. University and public service

IV. Term of Appointment

- A. There are no limits on service at any level in this series.
- B. Appointments or reappointments are to be made based on the service limitations indicated in Red Binder III-1 and, for represented employees, in the MOU.

V. Compensation

- A. Individuals appointed to this series are compensated on the salary scales established for the Specialist Series on a fiscal year (11 month) basis.

Without salary appointments in this series will occur rarely and will require evidence of external funding. Individuals who hold a primary affiliation with and are funded by another academic institution or outside agency may more appropriately be appointed as Research Associate or Research Fellow (Red Binder III-20.)

- B. Off-scale salaries are allowed within the same limits and policies as ladder faculty off-scale salaries. (Red Binder I-8)
- C. Salaries are subject to range adjustment.
- D. Each source that provides compensation for service in this series must permit research.

VI. Requests for Appointment and Advancement

Appointment

Appointment cases are to be submitted via AP Folio and using the checklist of documents to be submitted by the Chair for appointments (Red Binder III-7). Particular attention should be paid to assuring the department provides justification for the level of appointment and analytical evaluation of the candidate and his or her accomplishments.

Reappointment

Reappointments are to be submitted via the reappointment and modification module of AP Folio.

Advancement: Merit and Promotion

Advancement cases are to be submitted via AP Folio and using the checklist of documents to be submitted by the chair for research reviews (Red Binder III-9). All advancement actions are based on the individual's achievements. Normal advancement will occur after one year at step at the Junior level, two years at step at the Assistant and Associate level and after three years at the Full Specialist level, steps I-IX, and after four years at step IX and within Above Scale. Any advancement requested prior to that time will be considered an acceleration and must be justified as such. Merits are based on the academic record since the time of last review while promotions are based on the career academic record. Advancement to Above Scale status involves an overall career review and requires work of sustained and continued excellence with national or international recognition, outstanding professional achievement, and highly meritorious service. See Red Binder I-43 for further guidance regarding Above Scale status.

All merits and promotions will be effective July 1. Completed cases must be submitted to the Academic Personnel Office by **April 1**, preceding the effective date. Cases received after the due date will be returned to the Department and will not be processed. A missed deadline may not be used as justification for retroactivity in a future review.

Requests for deferral of non-mandatory reviews must be submitted by the deadline established by the department. Appointees in the Specialist series must undergo a performance review at least once every five years, including an evaluation of the record in all review areas. This review may not be deferred. If the candidate does not turn in materials by the departmental due date, the department will conduct the review based on the materials available in the department as of the due date

Chair/Director Letters of Recommendation

The Chair/Director's letter of recommendation for appointment or advancement should include an evaluation of the candidate's work and an evaluation of the candidate's contributions to the group effort, if relevant. In addition to the foregoing, recommendations for promotion must provide documentation of the scientific, technical, or otherwise creative contributions of the candidate (as contrasted to contributions to a group effort). Each unit should establish set procedures for evaluation of Specialist series appointments and advancements and development of the letter of recommendation. While review done solely by the Director or PI is acceptable, a fuller review, including input from other equal or higher ranking individuals in the unit is preferable.

Bio-Bibliography

It is the responsibility of each Specialist to maintain an up to date bio-bibliography (bio-bib). The bio-bib should contain information ending at the campus cut-off date of January 31, or the date established by the candidate's department if an earlier date has been established. Information that falls beyond that date will not be considered in the review. Bio-bibs must follow the bio-bib template available in the Forms section of the Academic Personnel web-site, and the instructions in Red Binder I-27 excluding the Teaching section.

External Evaluation

While extramural letters of evaluation are not required for appointment, promotion, or advancement to Above Scale in the Specialist series they may, in some cases, be helpful in evaluating the candidate's record. When letters are solicited, the sample letter for solicitation of extramural evaluators (Red Binder I-49) is to be used, with the following wording inserted as appropriate:

_____ is being considered for (an appointment/promotion to) Associate Specialist/Specialist in the (department/unit). Appointment (or Promotion) to Associate Specialist/Specialist within the UC system requires evaluation in the areas of: 1) specialized research, 2) professional competence and activity, 3) university and public service. [Sample wording for evaluation request: e.g., I would greatly appreciate your evaluation of _____'s work.]

For promotion cases add: In assessing the academic record of the candidate, please keep in mind the significant disruptions the University experienced as a result of COVID-19. In March of 2020, just as the Winter Quarter was ending, the UCSB campus was closed and our faculty rapidly transitioned to remote work. All campus research facilities including labs and libraries were closed; travel was halted; access to external facilities and achieves ceased; and opportunities for professional engagement and visibility were restricted.

At the same time, many employees had to provide fulltime childcare or dependent care, as our local daycares and other facilities closed. Some had to work and teach in home environments that presented significant technical and logistical obstacles.

It is our expectation that these unprecedented circumstances be taken into consideration in the evaluation of _____'s contributions since Winter 2020. Although our standards for quality and excellence have not changed, we wish to be realistic about the constraints that employees experienced during this difficult time, and the impacts and consequences of these limitations on research, even after a return to more normal activities

Reviewing agencies reserve the right to request that letters be solicited in any appointment or advancement case if it is determined that more information is necessary to support the proposed action.

VII. Approval Authority

Action

All actions

Authority

Associate Vice Chancellor for Academic Personnel

III-20
RESEARCH ASSOCIATE AND RESEARCH FELLOW
(Revised 6/20)

I. Definition

Research Associates and Research Fellows are non-salaried (without salary) appointments for scholars of distinction and visiting fellows whose main affiliation is elsewhere but who maintain a recognizable research affiliation with UCSB. Research Associates and Research Fellows may serve as co-PI by exception.

II. Appointment Criteria

Appointments may be made as:

Research Associate: Job code CWR 022

Research Fellow: Job code CWR 021

Appointees as Research Associate or Research Fellow must possess a Ph.D. or equivalent training in the field. In addition:

- A. Appointees as Research Associate must have established a record of independent research.
- B. Appointees as Research Fellow need not have had experience as an independent researcher aside from the research done for the doctoral degree. Research Fellows will normally be visiting fellows from recognized fellowship programs or from other universities.

In limited circumstances, an individual who is establishing a research relationship with UCSB but is not yet funded, and for whom UCSB is the main affiliate, may be appointed as Research Associate or Research Fellow.

The Research Associate title may also be used for Senate faculty who have resigned but will continue to have grant funding at UCSB for a short period of time.

III. Terms of Appointment

Appointments and reappointments to these titles are for specified terms, not to exceed three years per appointment. There is no limit on the total length of appointment in the series.

IV. Appointment Procedure

Appointments are processed by submitting the [Contingent Workers Appointment Form](#), a Patent Acknowledgement form, and an up to date UCSB Biography form to the Academic Personnel office. All appointments are to be entered into UCPath by the department.

V. Approval authority

All actions Department Chair or Director with post-audit by Academic Personnel

V-17
ADJUNCT PROFESSOR SERIES
(Revised 11/15)

I. Definition

The titles in this series may be assigned to those who are predominantly engaged in research and who participate in teaching, or to individuals who contribute primarily to teaching and have a limited responsibility for research or other creative work. Appointees also engage in University and public service consistent with their assignments. See APM 280 for System Wide policy on Adjunct Professors.

Appointments may be made on a paid basis or a without salary basis.

II. Appointment Criteria

A candidate for appointment or advancement in this series is judged by the same four criteria specified for the Professor series, except that evaluation of the candidate shall take into account the nature of the duties and responsibilities, and shall adjust accordingly the emphasis to be placed on each of the criteria. The four criteria are:

1. Teaching
2. Research
3. Professional competence and activity
4. University and public service

See APM 210-1 for an explanation of these criteria.

III. Term of Appointment

Appointment or reappointment at the Assistant level may be for a maximum term of two years. Appointments at 50% or greater are limited to a total of eight years of service at the Assistant Professor level. Appointments at less than 50% are not subject to the eight-year limit.

Appointments or reappointments may be for up to two years at the Associate Adjunct Professor level and for up to three years at the Adjunct Professor level. For paid appointments a guarantee of funding is required for the duration of the appointment. Reappointments for funding purposes only, involving no academic review, may be requested by memo from the Chair or Director. No departmental vote is required.

The following policies apply to all without salary Adjunct appointments

IV. Restrictions and review process

For non-salaried appointments the title will normally be accorded to a distinguished person whose main affiliation is with another institution or in private industry, but who has an ongoing identifiable research and teaching involvement with UCSB.

Appointment may be made at the Assistant Adjunct Professor, Associate Adjunct Professor, or Adjunct Professor level. Candidates who hold, or have held an academic appointment at another institution should be appointed at the equivalent level. Candidates who have a main affiliation in industry and have not held an academic appointment in the past should be appointed at a level appropriate to their standing in the field.

To request a without salary appointment the following documents must be submitted to the Dean's office:

- Up-to-date CV
- UCSB biography form
- Departmental recommendation letter that includes a summary of the candidate's qualifications, justification for the level being proposed and the specific research and/or teaching that will take place.

To request a without salary reappointment the following documents must be submitted to the Dean's office:

- Up- to- date CV
- Departmental recommendation letter that includes the specific research and/or teaching that will take place as well as an evaluation of the performance during the current appointment period.

The following policies apply to all salaried Adjunct appointments

V. Ranks and Steps

Assistant Adjunct Professor II- V
Associate Adjunct Professor I- IV
Adjunct Professor I- IX

The normal time of service at each step within the Assistant and Associate rank is 2 years, except for service at the special steps of Assistant Adjunct Professor V and Associate Adjunct Professor IV (Red Binder I-4, II). Within the Adjunct Professor rank normal service at Steps I-IV is 3 years. Service at Step V and above may be for an indefinite time; however, normal service is 3 years at Steps V through VIII and 4 years at Step IX. Eligibility for normal advancement occurs after the normal time of service at each step. If not advanced in step at that time, the candidate will continue to be eligible each year until advancement in step occurs.

VI. Compensation

- A. Initial appointments and reappointments in this series are conditional on programmatic need and the availability of funds, and each individual shall be notified to this effect at the time of appointment or reappointment.
- B. Individuals appointed to this series are compensated from the salary scales established for the Professorial ranks.
- C. At least 50% of any appointment must be funded from other than 19900 sources.
- D. Appointees to this series who hold academic year (9/12 basis) appointments are eligible to receive additional compensation for summer research efforts at the 1/9th rate.
- E. Off-scale salaries are allowed within the same limits and policies as ladder faculty off-scale salaries. (Red Binder I-8)

VII. Restrictions

- A. Individuals who are primarily researchers and who teach regularly at least one course a year should be appointed in the Adjunct series for their whole appointment. Professional Researchers who teach less than one course a year should be given a Lecturer appointment in conjunction with the Researcher appointment. For purposes of appointment "one course" is defined as a regularly scheduled class that meets at least three hours per week (e.g. a 599 class does not fulfill the requirement).

For appointments in which teaching is the main activity, it must be clearly demonstrated that a teaching title such as lecturer is not appropriate, before appointment to this series can be approved.

- B. An appointee to a title in this series shall have the title revoked whenever the appointee's participation in teaching ceases to conform to the criteria set forth in A above.
- C. No appointee shall be paid from 19900 funds for more than 50% of any appointment. To the extent that State funds are used to support any part of the salary, the corresponding fractional part of an FTE shall also be used for the appointment.
- D. Appointees are not members of the Academic Senate, do not acquire security of employment or

tenure, and are not eligible for sabbatical leave.

- E. Paid Adjunct appointments are subject to open search requirements as defined in Red Binder VII-1.

VIII. Appointment and Advancement

- A. Paid appointments at 50% time or more that exceed one year will be considered the equivalent of ladder rank faculty appointments. Procedures and policies concerning appointment and advancement within the ladder ranks will apply to these positions (Red Binder I). The checklists for appointment (Red Binder I-15) and for advancement (Red Binder I-31 and I-34) should be used when preparing cases. For individuals appointed at less than 50% the same checklists is to be used to prepare the case.
- B. All advancement actions are based on the individual's achievements. Normal advancement will occur after 2 years at step at the Assistant or Associate level and after 3 years at the Adjunct Professor level. Merit increases are based on the academic record since the time of last review while promotions, advancement to Adjunct Professor VI, and advancement to Adjunct Professor Above Scale are based on the career academic record. Any advancement requested prior to the normative time at step will be considered an acceleration and must be justified as such.
- C. All merits and promotions will be effective July 1. Completed cases must be submitted to the college by the deadlines established for ladder faculty cases. Cases received after the due date will be returned to the Department and will not be processed. A missed deadline may not be used as justification for retroactivity in a future review.

Deferral will be automatic if an Adjunct Professor does not submit material by the departmental due date and no case is forwarded by the department, with the exception of formal appraisals and mandatory reviews.

- D. A formal appraisal of an Assistant Adjunct Professor will take place during the fourth year of service. The procedures outlined in Red Binder I-38 will be used.

Appointees in the Adjunct series must undergo a performance review at least once every five years, including an evaluation of the record in all review areas. This review may not be deferred. If the candidate does not turn in materials by the departmental due date, the department will conduct the review based on the materials available in the department as of the due date.

- E. External letters of evaluation will be required in cases of: appointment as Associate Adjunct Professor, appointment as Adjunct Professor, promotion to Associate Adjunct Professor, promotion to Adjunct Professor, merit to Adjunct Professor, Step VI and merit to Adjunct Professor Above Scale. The policies related to solicitation of external evaluation for ladder faculty must be followed (Red Binder I-46 to I-50).

IX. Approval Authority

Action

Authority

50% or more for more than one year:

Same as ladder rank faculty
(Red Binder I-14)

Exceptions to State funding limits

Chancellor

Less than 50% or one year or less:

Assistant level: Appointments
Reappointments, Merits

Dean

Associate, Full reappointments and merits

Dean

Associate, Full Appointments
Promotions

Associate Vice Chancellor

Exceptions to State funding limits

Associate Vice Chancellor

V-20
PROFESSOR OF PRACTICE
(Revised 9/18)

I. Definition

Appointees in the Professor of Practice series are distinguished professionals, either practicing or retired. A few may have traditional academic backgrounds, but most do not.

The working title of Professor of Practice helps promote the integration of academic scholarship with practical experience. Appointees provide faculty, undergraduate students, and graduate students with an understanding of the practical applications of a particular field of study. Professors of Practice teach courses, advise students, and collaborate in areas directly related to their expertise and experience.

Appointment may be made as Professor of Practice or Visiting Professor of Practice. The underlying title of Adjunct Professor will be used for payroll purposes.

II. Appointment and advancement criteria

Evaluation of the candidate for appointment or advancement as Professor of Practice or Visiting Professor of Practice shall take into account the nature of the duties and responsibilities and shall adjust accordingly as to the emphasis placed on each of the following four criteria:

1. Professional competence and activity

For appointments, departments must identify the candidate's leadership in, and major contributions to, the field in question as well as document what credentials from practice he or she will bring to bear in teaching, research, and service. At the time of review, the department must demonstrate the appointee's continued record of exemplary professional practice and leadership in the field.

2. Teaching contributions

Professors of practice will design and teach undergraduate and graduate courses based on their expertise. Appointees are expected to teach primarily in professional programs at the graduate level. Instruction at the undergraduate level is permissible when an appointee's expertise warrants such an assignment, but is not required or normally expected.

3. Research contributions

Candidates in this series will have extensive practical experience that contributes to the research and teaching mission of the University. Appointees must have a well-established, evidence-based reputation for superior accomplishments in their fields. This may be evidenced by published works or presentations disseminated outside the scope of traditional scholarly journals and conferences, but otherwise subject to the same standards of quality and impact that govern other research contributions within the University.

4. Service contributions

Appointees, to the degree practicable, must bring their career experience to bear in university service. Such service activities should be related to the candidate's professional expertise and achievements.

III. Terms of service

A Professor of Practice or Visiting Professor of Practice may serve full time or part time, and with or without salary.

Salaried Professors of Practice or Visiting Professors of Practice may be appointed up to 100% time, but are normally appointed at 50% time or less. If appointed at 100% time, the appointee's full professional commitment must be to the University.

Appointments will be made at the Professor rank, steps I through IX. Appointments may also be Above Scale. The normal period of service at steps I-IX is 3 years. Service at step IX or above scale is normally 4 years. Eligibility for normal advancement occurs after the normal time of service at each step.

An appointment or reappointment as Professor of Practice may be for a period not to exceed three years, normally ending on the third June 30 following the date of appointment or reappointment. Appointment or reappointment may be for a shorter duration.

Visiting Professors of Practice may serve a maximum of two consecutive years and may not be reappointed.

Appointment or reappointment in the Professor of Practice series must have a specified ending date.

IV. Compensation

The salary paid to a Professor of Practice will be at a negotiated annual rate. The departmental recommendation letter must justify the salary level recommended.

The minimum pay level for the Professor of Practice series is no less than that of Professor, Step I. Step and salary will be based on the Professorial pay scale. Off-scale salaries are permissible to the same extent as for ladder-rank faculty.

At least one-half (50%) of any appointment in the Professor of Practice series must be supported by non-state funds.

V. Restrictions and Conditions of Employment

- A. This series does not accord tenure or security of employment.
- B. This series does not convey membership in the Academic Senate.
- C. Appointees in this series are subject to APM 137, Non-Senate Academic Appointees/Term Appointment.
- D. Appointees in this series are not eligible for sabbatical leave, but are eligible for other types of leave with pay in accordance with APM and campus policies
- E. Salaried Professors of Practice are subject to the restrictions set forth in APM 025, Conflict of Commitment and Outside Activities of Faculty Members.

VI. Appointment and advancement processes

- A. Paid appointments as Professor of Practice at 50% or more that exceed one year will be considered the equivalent of ladder-rank faculty appointments for purposes of appointment and advancement. Procedures and policies concerning appointment and advancement within the ladder ranks will apply to these positions (Red Binder I). The checklists for appointment (Red Binder I-15) and for advancement (Red Binder I-31 and I-34) should be used when preparing cases. For individuals appointed at less than 50% time the same checklists are to be used to prepare the case.
- B. All advancement actions are based on the individual's achievements. Merit increases are based on the academic record since the time of last review. Any advancement requested prior to the normative time at step will be considered an acceleration and must be justified as such.
- C. All merits and promotions will be effective July 1. Completed cases must be submitted to the college by the deadlines established for ladder-faculty cases. Cases received after the due date will be returned to the Department and will not be processed. A missed deadline may not be used as justification for retroactivity in a future review.
- D. Deferral will be automatic if a Professor of Practice does not submit material by the departmental due date and no case is forwarded by the department, with the exception of formal appraisals and mandatory reviews.
- E. Appointees in the Professor of Practice series must undergo a performance review at least once every five years, including an evaluation of the record in all review areas. This review may not be deferred. If the candidate does not turn in materials by the departmental due date, the department will conduct the review based on the materials available in the department as of the due date.
- F. External letters of evaluation will be required in cases of: appointment as Professor of Practice, merit to Professor of Practice, Step VI and merit to Professor of Practice Above Scale. The policies related to solicitation of external evaluation for ladder faculty must be followed (Red Binder I-46 to I-50).
 1. The following wording should be inserted into the standard letter as appropriate:
 - a. _____ is being considered for [appointment as a Professor of Practice/ merit to Professor of Practice Step VI/merit to Professor of Practice Above Scale] in the Department of _____
Appointees in the Professor of Practice series are distinguished professionals, either practicing or retired, who help promote the integration of academic scholarship with practical experience. For such appointees the candidate's record of professional competence and activity is carefully assessed as is their record of, or potential for teaching, and contributing to the research and service missions of the University.
- G. Professional activity, teaching, and creative contributions may differ from standard ladder-rank professorial activities, and can also be judged on the basis of professional competence, intellectual contribution, originality, and the total value of the appointee's engagement with the department. Evaluation of the candidate with respect to these criteria should take into account the nature of the University assignment of duties and responsibilities.
- H. Appointments as Visiting Professor of Practice will follow the same process as appointment as a Visiting Professor (Red Binder II-28, V). Visiting Professors of Practice are not eligible for merit increases.

VII. Approval Authority

Action

Authority

All actions

Associate Vice Chancellor for Academic Personnel

VI-3
SICK LEAVE
(Revised 2/20)

Academic appointees do not accrue sick leave credit with the exception of certain groups listed below, in APM 710-14, or the applicable Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) for represented academic employees. Academic appointees who accrue sick leave shall maintain proper records to show accrual and usage of sick leave credit. In the case of illness of faculty (as defined in APM 110 F (15) who do not accrue sick leave, leave with pay up to the maximums described in APM 710-11 a and b may be approved by the Dean. Leaves in excess of the APM maximums require approval of the Associate Vice Chancellor for Academic Personnel.

A. The following are eligible to accrue sick leave credit provided the appointment is at fifty percent or more time:

- Professional research series
- Specialist series
- Project Scientist series
- Librarian series
- Associate and Assistant University Librarians
- Continuing Educator
- Academic Coordinator

B. Appointees who accrue sick leave accrue at the rate of one working day per month for full-time service, including periods of leave with pay other than terminal vacation. Accrual for part time employees is based on the percent time on pay status during the month. See RB VI-8 for accrual codes.

C. Sick leave is to be used in keeping with normally approved purposes related to personal or family member illness and medical care as defined in APM 710-20 or the applicable MOU.

D. Faculty who do not accrue sick leave may apply for medical leave as follows.

If appointed for one year or more the appointee may apply for up to one quarter of leave with pay due to personal illness at a time. A physician's statement assessing the prognosis for return to duty may be requested prior to approval of the leave. Should the illness require an extension beyond the initial quarter of leave with pay, a physician's statement must be provided with the request for extension. Exceptions beyond the APM maximums will be considered on an individual basis. At no time may paid medical leave exceed three consecutive quarters.

If appointed for less than one year, the appointee may apply for paid leave due to personal illness for approximately the period that would be accrued during the appointment in accord with the accrual rates in APM 710-18.

E. Accrued sick leave may also be used to care for an ill family member as defined in APM 710-20 or the applicable MOU. Faculty who do not accrue sick leave may request up to one quarter of leave with pay for the care of a family member as defined in APM 710-20.

F. Sick leave that is granted for a serious health problem, or to care for a parent, child, spouse or domestic partner with a serious health problem may also be covered as a Family and Medical Leave (APM 715 or the applicable MOU.) Family and Medical leave will normally run concurrently with approved sick leave.

G. Represented academic employees are eligible for medical leave to the extent allowed in the appropriate MOU and applicable state and federal law.

H. Graduate Student Researchers are eligible for up to four weeks of paid leave due to the Graduate Student Researchers own serious health condition, or to care for a family member who has a serious health condition. In addition, a parent other than the birth-mother is eligible to use this paid leave for baby-bonding and will be eligible for up to another two weeks of unpaid leave for baby-bonding. The total period of **paid** combined pregnancy, childbirth, medical (Red Binder VI-4 H), and sick leave may not exceed six-weeks within an academic year.

VI-4
CHILDBEARING LEAVE AND PARENTAL LEAVE
(Revised 7/19)

- A. Academic appointees are eligible for childbearing and parental leave as guaranteed by applicable state and federal law, including but not limited to, the Federal Family and Medical Leave Act (FMLA), the California Family Rights Act (CFRA), and the California Fair Employment and Housing Act (FEHA). In addition, the University provides leave benefits as follows:
- B. An academic appointee who accrues sick or vacation leave shall be granted childbearing leave with full pay to the extent of her sick or vacation leave balance. Childbearing leave may be may also be covered as a Family and Medical Leave (APM 715). Family and Medical leave, if applicable, will normally run concurrently with approved childbearing leave.
- C. An academic appointee who does not accrue sick leave and who has served in her title or any faculty title for at least one year will receive full pay for up to 6 weeks during the period of time she is unable to assume her normal University obligations due to the birth of a child.
- D. An academic appointee who does not accrue sick or vacation leave and who has served in her title for less than one year will receive full pay for approximately the period that would be accrued during the appointment in accordance with the accrual rates in APM 710-18. If additional time is needed, leave without pay will be granted for the necessary period. However, members of the Academic Senate will be covered by C) above, regardless of length of service.
- E. Academic appointees are eligible for parental leave for purposes of carrying out childbearing and/or childrearing responsibilities. Whenever possible, parental leaves should be requested at least three months in advance. Parental leave without pay may be granted for up to one year to any academic appointee for the purpose of caring for a child. Normally, this unpaid leave, when combined with childbearing leave and/or Active Service Modified Duties, shall not exceed one year for each birth or adoption. A leave cannot be approved beyond the end date of the appointment.
- F. Requests for childbearing leave or parental leave must be submitted via the on-line leave module in AP Folio and are subject to approval by the Dean or Associate Vice Chancellor for Academic Personnel. A childbearing leave request should include a statement of the projected delivery date. The period of the leave may be adjusted as necessary after approval.
- G. Represented academic employees are eligible for childbearing leave to the extent allowed in the appropriate memorandum of understanding and applicable state and federal law.
- H. Graduate Student Researchers are eligible for up to six weeks of paid leave for pregnancy, childbirth, or related medical conditions for the period prior to, during, and after childbirth and up to two additional weeks of unpaid leave for baby bonding. The total period of combined **paid** pregnancy, childbirth, medical, and sick leave (Red Binder VI-3 H) may not exceed six-weeks within an academic year.

VI-7
OTHER LEAVES
(Revised 7/19)

- A. An academic appointee may be granted a leave with or without pay to attend a professional meeting or for University business. If the leave is for seven calendar days or less, APM 752 or applicable memorandum of understanding articles apply and the Department Chair or Director has authority. If the leave is without pay, the leave must be entered into the payroll system.
- B. Leaves of 8 or more calendar days are covered by APM 758 and 759 and applicable memorandum of understanding articles. Leaves not covered by vacation or sick time require approval of the appropriate Dean or the Associate Vice Chancellor for Academic Personnel. Applications for such leave are made via the on-line leave module in AP Folio. Leaves of more than 30 calendar days must be entered into the payroll system.
- C. Academic employees may be granted up to a one-year leave of absence without salary for professional development or personal reasons upon approval of the appropriate Dean or the Associate Vice Chancellor for Academic Personnel.
- D. Extension of a leave of absence beyond one year, whether with or without pay is not automatic and is granted only when there is a clear benefit to the campus. If an academic employee member accepts an academic or professional position elsewhere, the presumption is that additional leave will not be granted. Leaves that extend beyond one year require approval of the Associate Vice Chancellor for Academic Personnel.
- E. Special Research leaves may be granted to allow a faculty member to accept a fellowship from an external agency. Such fellowships normally require a full release from Professorial responsibilities. In situations where the funding agency pays the faculty member directly, the faculty member will be put on a leave without salary. In situations where the funding is administered through UCSB, ~~in addition to placing the faculty member on leave without salary for their faculty position, they will be put onto a Professional Researcher appointment (9/12 basis) at a~~ *the faculty member will be placed on a leave with partial pay reflecting the percentage of pay supported by the fellowship.* ~~'s Professorial appointment will be adjusted to a combination of leave without salary and regular pay, funded from the appropriate source.~~ *percentage that reflects the percentage of full salary that will be covered by the fellowship and paid via the payroll system.*

If the faculty member is receiving a supplement to the leave in exchange for sabbatical leave credits, that portion of pay will be reflected on the Professorial appointment as sabbatical leave in the payroll system. Faculty should be aware that not all fellowships include funding for benefits and should consult with the College prior to the period of the fellowship to determine the best options for their situation. The College providing the supplement may require a return to UCSB service, similar to the return to UC service required for sabbatical leaves.

VI-17
OTHER ADDITIONAL COMPENSATION
(Revised 6/20)

I. Summer Session teaching

Reference: APM 661-14

Faculty may receive additional compensation for teaching Summer Session classes. The Summer Session's staff performs the payroll transaction, rather than departments. **NOTE:** These payments count towards the 3/9ths maximum that may be earned during the summer.

Summer Session payments are always calculated based on the 6/30 pay rate rather than the 7/1 pay rate. The earn code **ACS** is used for individuals who are eligible for UC retirement contributions on Summer Session earnings. Days used for summer session payments may overlap days used for other types of summer compensation; however, the 3/9ths maximum may not be exceeded.

The earn code **ASN** is used for individuals who are not eligible for UC retirement contributions on Summer Session earnings. This is not considered additional compensation.

Full time fiscal year employees wishing to teach Summer Session classes may not earn additional compensation. The regular employment must be reduced to accommodate the Summer Session teaching so that total employment does not exceed 100% time.

II. Professional and Continuing Education teaching

Reference: APM 662, appendix B-2

Faculty may teach courses through Professional and Continuing Education. These payments count towards the 3/9ths maximum that may be earned during the summer if the teaching takes place during the summer months. If a faculty member is earning 3/9ths from other sources during the summer, they may in addition earn compensation from Professional and Continuing Education equal to one day a week during the period in which additional compensation may be paid. During the academic year, payments are subject to the University limits relating to outside professional activities (Red Binder I-29).

The earn code **ACX** is used for University Extension Teacher payments.

III. Faculty consultant services

Reference: APM 664

A faculty member may receive additional compensation for consulting on projects conducted under the auspices of the University if the consulting does not fall within the normal duties of the individual. The rate is negotiated, but may not exceed the daily rate plus 30%. The additional 30% is in consideration of the fact that no benefits are paid on the salary. If payment is to come from a grant, the grant should first be reviewed to assure that consultant payments are allowed. Payments are allowed during both the academic year and the summer months. During the summer the compensation counts toward the 3/9ths limit. For academic-year employees the daily rate is figured by dividing the annual salary by 171. For fiscal-year 11-month employees the daily rate is figured by dividing the annual salary by 236.

The payment is made as additional pay using the earn code of **ACF**.

IV. University awards

When University awards such as the FCDA and Regents' Fellowships are granted, the Department will be instructed as to the proper payment methodology. The earn code of **ADC ACA** will be used for percentage based (1/9th) awards, and the earn code of **ACN** will be used for flat rate awards.

V. Department Chair and Director stipends

Department Chairs and Directors are paid a monthly stipend with an earn code of **STP** on an 11/12 basis at the rate approved by the Executive Vice Chancellor. Red Binder V-31 provides further detail regarding part-time administrative appointments. Chair and Director stipends paid during the summer months do not count towards the 3/9ths limit.

VI. Start-up and retention research support

Research support from state or gift funds, usually associated with start-up or retention packages, is to be paid using the Daily Factors 19-day chart consistent with the methodology for summer research payments from extramural sources (see Red Binder VI-14).

VII. Dean's summer research compensation

In accord with Red Binder V-28 III D. Deans may be paid summer research funds in exchange for vacation time. Payments are to be made using the Dean title code, the 1/12th rate as the distribution rate, and the earn code of **AFR**.

VIII. Honoraria

Academic employees may receive honoraria for work related to University-sponsored conferences and panels, or creative work unrelated to the primary job responsibilities. Honoraria may not be paid using State funds. When work of this type is performed at a different UC campus, the payment is processed via an intercampus payment (see Red Binder VI0-15). When the work is performed at UCSB, it may be paid through the payroll system as an honoraria, using the earn code of **HON**. One-time honoraria payments are allowable up to \$2,500 per event, and up to \$5,000 by exception, requiring the approval of the Associate Vice Chancellor for Academic Personnel.

VIII. Other Summer Additional Compensation

Occasionally payment for other non-teaching, non-research work may be appropriate. In such cases the Academic Personnel office should be consulted to determine the appropriate title code and earn code to be used.

VII-1
POLICIES ON OPEN RECRUITMENT FOR ACADEMIC APPOINTMENTS
(Revised 12/19)

It is the policy of the University of California not to engage in discrimination against any person seeking employment with the University. In addition, it is the policy of the University to undertake affirmative action, consistent with its obligations as a Federal contractor. Conducting open searches for employment positions supports the University of California in fulfilling its requirements under federal and state laws. The University of California Affirmative Action Guidelines for Recruitment and Retention of Faculty, Office of the President, Academic Advancement, are available at:

<http://policy.ucop.edu/doc/4000376/NondiscrimAffirmAct>

An open recruitment is required for all academic positions unless the recruitment is exempt under the specific criteria listed in section II below.

I. Recruitment types and requirements

As appropriate, a Department will recruit both within and outside the workforce to obtain diverse pools of qualified applicants. For Senate faculty the level of position advertised is based on the level of search approved by the Executive Vice Chancellor. Non-Senate searches may be at a specific rank or at open rank.

External Recruitments are open to all applicants and are listed in various off-campus publications and the UC Recruit job board. Typically, external recruitments generate the largest and most diverse applicant pools consistent with the campus commitment to equal opportunity and diversity.

In some unique situations, an internal recruitment may be utilized so long as it is consistent with equal employment and affirmative action objectives and results in a diverse pool of qualified applicants. Internal recruitment requests require consultation, prior to the beginning of the recruitment, with the Office of Equal Opportunity & Discrimination Prevention and Academic Personnel.

Recruitments may be conducted in the following ways:

One-time recruitment: The recruitment is advertised for the duration of the recruitment for a specific position or positions. Most often the one-time recruitment will be for a single hire, however occasionally a single recruitment may yield multiple hires. This may be either the result of multiple positions being available at the beginning of the search, or may occur through a special request to make multiple hires. Requests to make multiple hires from a Senate Faculty search originally designated as a single hire will be initiated by the Department Chair and submitted to the Executive Vice Chancellor via the Dean. The Dean will be asked to provide additional information concerning the FTE to be used for the additional hire, and the Executive Vice Chancellor will consult with the Academic Senate as appropriate. Requests to make multiple hires from a non-senate search originally designated as a single hire are to be addressed to the Associate Vice Chancellor for Academic Personnel.

Standing pool recruitment: A standing pool recruitment may be used to fill multiple positions at various times for temporary research or teaching positions. Pooled recruitments may be advertised for no longer than one year. All standing pool recruitment advertisements must be terminated on October 31, annually. New advertisements may begin after November 1 of each year. This is to ensure compliance with federal data reporting requirements.

II. Exemptions from Open Recruitment Policies

- A. Appointment to temporary academic administrator positions by individuals already holding an academic appointment
- B. Recall appointments
- C. Visiting appointments in the Professor, Researcher, Specialist, or Project Scientist series. The individual must be a "true visitor" i.e. on leave from (or for the Professorial series only, retired from) an equivalent position at another academic institution.

- D. Appointees within Unit 18, who have previously undergone open recruitment in the same department for a Unit 18 position without a significant break in service.
- E. Positions requiring student status, e.g. teaching assistant, graduate student researchers or trainee status, e.g. Postdoctoral Scholars.
- F. A modification of the current position from the Professorial series to the Lecturer SOE series or one non-senate research series to another (e.g. Project Scientist to Researcher) assuming the original appointment had either an open search, an approved waiver or is exempt from search due to without salary status.
- G. Without salary appointments.

Although open recruitment is not required in the above situations, a department may choose to conduct a search. When a search is conducted, all appropriate policies and procedures must be followed.

III. Search waivers

An open recruitment, available to all qualified applicants, is a preferred hiring mechanism since it provides substantial assurance of compliance with University policy and the quality of the individual offered a position. However, special circumstances may on occasion justify a waiver of the search requirement.

A. Non-Senate Titles

1. Emergency Hire: Unexpected circumstances result in insufficient time to recruit: (e.g., unexpected illness, leave of absence of faculty, emergency research need.) Waivers will be granted with a specific end date.
2. Spousal or Domestic Partner Hire: the hire of a spouse or domestic partner in order to initially hire or retain a Senate faculty member. Waivers will be granted for the duration of employment in the job series.
3. PI/Co-PI/Leadership Status: the proposed appointee is the principal investigator, co-principal investigator of a grant/contract, or has been named in the grant/contract for a specific leadership role. Supporting documentation must be available in the departmental file and may be requested as necessary. *For non-represented employees, waivers will be granted for the duration of the contract or grant. For represented employees, the waiver will be granted for the duration of the appointment term as required by the applicable MOU.*
4. Continuation of Training: the proposed appointee is currently a graduate student researcher or postdoctoral scholar at UCSB and will remain for a short period to complete a research project begun while in the current status. Waivers may not be granted for longer than one year.
5. Research Team: the proposed appointee is part of an existing research team of a new faculty member relocating from another academic institution and will be continuing in the same capacity in the lab. The waiver is valid for the duration of appointment in the same title within the same team.

Consistency with the criteria above does not guarantee a waiver will be granted.

Search waiver requests are initiated by the department through UC Recruit.

The Director of Equal Opportunity & Discrimination Prevention will provide information regarding the impact of the proposed hire on affirmative action goals and the Campus Affirmative Action Plan. The request will then be reviewed by the Dean or Associate Vice Chancellor with approval authority for the requested action. If the request is approved, the department may then submit an appointment case. If the request is denied, an open search will be required.

An existing waiver with an end date may be extended if the appointment continues to meet the criteria under which the waiver was originally granted. The request to extend the waiver may be included with the reappointment request and must specify the new end date.

B. Senate Faculty

1. Partner Hire: the hire of a partner in order to initially hire or retain a Senate faculty member. In such cases, the partner should have a record and credentials that provide evidence he or she would likely be among the top candidates if an open search had been conducted.
2. Exceptional Opportunity: an unusual opportunity to hire an individual who has qualifications that are so uniquely outstanding as to justify the waiver. In all these cases the candidate would be on the short list of top candidates if a full search were conducted, and the individual would be highly sought after by peer institutions. Examples would include an internationally recognized leader in a particular field (e.g., a Nobel Laureate or a Pulitzer Prize winner), an exceptional scholar who would make special contributions to diversity in a particular program or field; or a highly sought after individual who is on the market for a very limited time period. Exceptional Opportunity are normally expected to be at the Full Professor level, but under exceptional circumstances, justified by compelling reasons, they may be at a lower level.
3. President's Postdoctoral Fellowship Recipients: the proposed hire is a current or former recipient of a UC President's or Chancellor's Postdoctoral Fellowship.

Consistency with the criteria above does not guarantee a waiver will be granted.

Search waiver requests are initiated by the department through UC Recruit. The department memo must address the following:

- Which category of waiver is being requested.
- The departmental vote on the request for a waiver.
- A report of the departmental discussion of three major issues: 1) the candidate's qualifications; 2) the candidate's programmatic fit within the departmental academic plans; and 3) the source of the FTE and the impact of the appointment on the departmental FTE plan
- In the case of an Exceptional Opportunity request, an explanation why it is not possible to consider the candidate as an applicant in an open search (for example, the individual under consideration is available only for a limited period of time.)

Requests will be routed to the Dean for review. As part of his or her recommendation, the Dean should address the items outlined in #3 above, as well as the programmatic and budgetary impact within the department and on a divisional or college wide basis. If the Department has not identified an FTE, the Dean must do so. The Executive Vice Chancellor will consult with the Director of Equal Opportunity & Discrimination Prevention, the Council on Planning and Budget, and the Committee on Academic Personnel prior to making a final decision. The Director of Equal Opportunity & Discrimination Prevention will provide information regarding the request in the context of the Campus Affirmative Action Plan and placement goals. The Council on Planning and Budget will provide guidance regarding resource allocation for the position. The Committee on Academic Personnel will provide an initial assessment of the candidate's qualifications for an academic senate position. If the request is approved, the department may submit an appointment case. If the request is denied, an open search will be required.

In recruitments that are limited to either the Assistant or Associate level, if a candidate is promoted to a higher level at their home institution while the search is in progress, or an appointment at a higher rank is justified by the need to make a competitive recruitment offer (such as a competing offer at a higher rank) the department may request permission to allow appointment at the next highest rank. The request will be forwarded from the department, via the Dean, and Associate Vice Chancellor for Academic Personnel, to the Executive Vice Chancellor. If the request is approved, the department may then submit the appointment case with a request for the higher rank. Additional external evaluation may be required to support the higher rank appointment.

VIII-11
POLICY ON ENDOWED CHAIRS
(Revised 12/19)

I. References:

- A. University of California, Policy and Procedures Manual for Gifts and Endowments.
- B. University of California Academic Personnel Manual.
- C. Policy on Endowed Chairs, adopted by The Regents, effective July 1, 1996.
- D. Delegation of authority, President Napolitano, July 11, 2019

II. Policy:

- A. **Background:**
While General Fund appropriations remain the core support for the academic functions of the campus, the establishment of endowed chairs, fully funded through the support of private gifts, provides significant and singular benefit in the development of excellence at UCSB. These gifts permit enriched support for the teaching, research and service responsibilities of especially gifted faculty and provide a means of according such faculty public recognition of their distinguished status. They offer attractive incentives for recruitment and retention purposes. Endowed chairs, endowed professorships and all similar entities are governed by this policy.
- B. **Definition:**
An endowed chair is a perquisite, supported by income from an endowed fund established by gifts.
- C. **Requirements for Establishing an Endowed Chair:**
 - 1. The Chancellor has authority for establishing and naming endowed chairs. No final commitment for establishing and naming a chair shall be made to a prospective donor prior to Chancellorial approval. This authority may not be redelegated.
 - 2. The corpus of a gift consisting of cash, its equivalent, or a legally binding pledge from a donor(s) of at least \$1,000,000 is required to establish an endowed chair.
 - a. A pledge to establish an endowed chair shall be in such form as to constitute a legally binding commitment by the donor. Pledges to The UCSB Foundation shall be supported by a binding pledge from the latter to transfer the income to The Regents at the beginning of each fiscal year to fund the chair.
 - b. Whenever possible, a pledge to fund an endowed chair shall be accompanied by partial payment, preferably at least one-third of the total, and the instrument of gift shall include a proposed payment schedule which shall not exceed a date specified at the time of Presidential approval, except in cases where there is a binding commitment to complete the funding by bequest or similar deferred gift for which there can be no predetermined termination date.
 - c. If a chair is to be funded through a campaign, the recommendation for approval of the chair and the campaign shall be presented simultaneously. Approval of an endowed chair when a campaign is involved, will be contingent upon the receipt of a specified amount by the specified closing date of the campaign, with a provision for optional use of the funds raised should they fall short of the required minimum, or with a commitment from the Chancellor to make up any deficiency from unrestricted funds available to the campus.
 - 3. The subject area of the endowed chair must be consistent with the mission of the University of California and the academic planning statement of the Santa Barbara campus. The designated field for the endowed chair is a matter of negotiation between the donor and the University. Income from the endowment will be dedicated to the academic discipline or area specified by the donor at the time of acceptance of the gift so long as that discipline or specialty remains a program within the academic plan of the campus.

4. The gift instrument shall normally permit appropriate alternative distribution of the income by the Chancellor if the subject area of the endowed chair ceases to be consistent with the University's mission or the academic planning statement of the campus. Such alternative distribution shall be as closely related to the donor's original intent as is feasible.
5. The gift instrument shall normally state that the fund administrator is given authority to add unexpended income to the original corpus.
6. Procedure for obtaining approval for the establishment of an Endowed Chair is as follows: Recommendations shall be reviewed by the appropriate Dean and forwarded to the Executive Vice Chancellor who will consult with the Academic Senate Committee on Planning and Budget regarding the appropriateness of the proposed subject area. Based on the comments of the committee, the Executive Vice Chancellor will make a recommendation to the Chancellor who has final authority for establishment of the Endowed Chair.

D. Appointments:

1. Unless otherwise indicated in the gift agreement, the term of appointment to an endowed chair will be for an initial period of five years, with subsequent terms of five years each as long as the chair holder is fulfilling the original mission and expectations of the appointment. Appointment may be for a shorter period, but may not exceed five years without review as described in D.5 below. Appointment may also be made to a series of individuals appointed successively for prescribed periods.
2. Appointment of an individual to an Endowed Chair shall be made by the Chancellor, in accordance with the normal academic review procedure for an academic appointment, including consultation with the department, college, and Committee on Academic Personnel. When a current UCSB faculty member is recommended for appointment to an Endowed Chair, the process may be modified as appropriate. For example, a department vote is not mandatory.
3. In the case of an administrative endowed chair, the administrative officer is automatically designated as the chair holder.
4. The level of appointment normally shall be equivalent to the top ranks of the professor series, but appointment at lower ranks is also possible if so stated in the gift agreement.
5. Reappointment of an individual to an Endowed Chair may be approved by the Associate Vice Chancellor for Academic Personnel, upon favorable recommendation by the Department and endorsement by the Dean. The departmental recommendation will consist of a memo that evaluates the extent to which the chair holder is fulfilling the original mission and expectations of the appointment. While a faculty vote is not mandatory, departmental consultation must take place. Should the Department or Dean recommend termination of the appointment, CAP review will be required and the Chancellor will have final authority.
6. Chairs that remain vacant for a consecutive period of five years will be subject to review by the Chancellor.

E. Provisions:

1. Endowment income may be used to support salary, or a portion of the base salary if so stated in the gift agreement, however in most cases base salary will be provided through state funding of the faculty position. Income from the endowment may also be used for supplementary salary beyond the base salary, as determined by the fund administrator, consistent with the terms of the gift and campus and University policy and procedures.
2. Endowment income made available to holders of endowed chairs shall be used to support teaching, research, and service activities of the chair holder, in accordance with the gift terms, University regulations and according to a budget recommended annually by the chair holder to the fund administrator. Consistent with the foregoing, and following consultation with the appropriate campus administrator, a chair holder may exercise the option of designating a portion of the

endowment income from the chair for use towards the academic endeavors of the Department for a prescribed period, within proper legal constraints.

3. Endowment income for an administrative chair may be used to support the teaching, research, and service activities of the department, research unit, school, or college as determined appropriate by the holder of the chair in accordance with the gift terms as well as University and campus policies and procedures.
4. The department chairperson shall act as fund administrator unless this responsibility is designated by the gift agreement to another individual.
5. The occupant of the chair, as a member of the faculty, shall be entitled to the normal support funds and services available to other faculty members within the department. Such support shall not be charged against the endowed income of the chair.
6. The occupant of the chair shall be given adequate space for his/her teaching and research program, considering normal departmental and campus space allocations.
7. The holder of an appointment to an endowed chair will be expected to carry on an appropriate teaching responsibility, and normally shall teach both graduate and undergraduate courses. The appointee shall contribute to the scholarly activity of the department in which he/she resides and, through seminars and other intellectual contact with students, add to the enrichment of the academic life of the campus as a whole.
8. The Endowed Chair will be declared vacant at the time of retirement or resignation from the Senate faculty position, termination, or death of the chairholder.

F. Disestablishment of Chair

1. The terms of the endowment shall be reviewed from time to time to ensure that chairs and professorships meet their intended purposes.
2. The Chancellor, after consultation with General Counsel, is authorized to disestablish an endowed chair if
 - a. The subject area ceases to be consistent with the University's mission or campus academic planning statement.
 - b. the chair remains vacant for more than **§ three** years and the Chancellor determines there is no likelihood of filling the chair.
3. Upon disestablishment of an endowed chair the endowment income shall be redirected to the alternative purposes stated in the gift agreement or subsequent agreements between the donor and the Chancellor. If a donor is deceased and has not specified an alternative purpose, the campus shall request assistance of General Counsel in obtaining court approval for an alternative use of endowment income.

G. Reporting

1. The Chancellor shall provide the President annual reports on endowed chairs that have been unfilled in the previous year and those that have been disestablished. The reports should include the following:
 - Name of chair, fund number, entity that holds the endowment;
 - Name of donor;
 - Date established;
 - Subject area of chair;
 - Amount of endowment when fully funded; funding to date;
 - For an unfilled chair- how long the chair has been vacant; what use, if any, has been made of the income during the period.
 - For a disestablished chair- date disestablished; alternate use of funds approved by General Counsel.

III. Dickson Emeriti Professorship:

The Dickson Emeriti Professorship will be awarded to an emeriti faculty member on an annual basis for a term of one academic year. There is no limit to the number of times an individual may be appointed.

Endowment funds may be used to support recall appointments for teaching, research, or public service of an emeriti faculty member.

An annual call will be issued during Winter quarter for nominations for the next academic year. Recommendations are to be forwarded by the Department to the Executive Vice Chancellor, via the Dean. Authority to make appointments to the Dickson Emeriti Professorship will be held by the Executive Vice Chancellor. The Executive Vice Chancellor will have the discretion to make multiple appointments for any given year.

The Departmental recommendation should state the proposed use of the funds and the proposed activity's relevance to the department, unit, campus or University as a whole.