## V-2

## DOCUMENTS TO BE SUBMITTED BY THE CHAIR ACADEMIC COORDINATORS (Revised-5/24)

All appointments and advancements are to be submitted via AP Folio

	NTMENTS ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	
I.	Departmental Letter of Recommendation	
	Accurate and analytical letters of recommendation from the department are essential in the review pr See Red Binder I-35 for further detail of content of departmental recommendations:	ocess.
	Are the dates of the appointment, rank and step all clearly stated?	
	Is the recommended salary on the published salary scale?	
	is the recommended satury on the parameter satury section.	
II.	Complete CV and UCSB Academic biography form	
	Is the CV up to date?	
	Is the UCSB Academic biography form complete, signed and dated?	
	Have all links to supporting documents been verified?	
III.	Job Description	
	Does the job description addressed program scope and complexity, degree of independence, budgets	getary
	responsibility, level of professional accomplishment required and scope of impact on the campus	
	mission (See APM 375, Appendix A)?	
<b>TX</b> 7		
IV.	Supportive and other documentation  Has a representative compline of supporting documentation been submitted?	
	Has a representative sampling of supporting documentation been submitted?  Has the candidate submitted the Misconduct Disclosure Form?	
	This the cultifiate submitted the Wiscondact Disclosure Form.	
Oth	onsiderations:	
	If the test of the	•
	If a search was conducted, the search report must be approved in UC Recruit before the appointment	1S
	submitted. If no search was done, a waiver must have been approved.	
	The Procedural Safeguard Statement is <u>not</u> used for new appointments. However, candidates for	
	appointment, once appointed, do have the right to inspect non-confidential documents in their files an	
	have a redacted copy of the confidential academic review records contained in the personnel review	file
	received pursuant to APM 220-80-i.	
	When putting forward a case for a non-resident alien (i.e. not currently a US Citizen or a Permanent	
	Resident), the department is strongly encouraged to consult with the Office of International Students	and
	Scholars at the time the offer is being considered to be assured that labor certificate processing deadl	
	are met.	
МЕ	CC AND DROMOTIONS	
I.	<u>CS AND PROMOTIONS</u> Departmental letter of recommendation	
1.	Accurate and analytical letters of recommendation from the department are essential in the review pr	ocess
	See Red Binder I-35 for further detail of content of departmental recommendations:	00000.
	Is the letter an accurate, extensive, and <b>analytical</b> representation of the case?	
	If there is a recommendation for an acceleration, are the reasons for the acceleration specifically	stated?
	In the case of a negative departmental recommendation, is the basis of the recommendation clear	
	documented?	_
	Is all relevant information from the Departmental letter accurately entered on the case up-load sc	reen?
II.	Updated CV or Bio-bib	
	Is the CV up to date?	
	Is the Bio-Bib in the proper format?	

	<ul> <li>☐ Is the Research section a cumulative list of publications (or creative activities) with a line drawn separating all new items from where the bio-bib from the last review case had ended?</li> <li>☐ Are the numbers the same as in the previously submitted bio-bib, and have items previously listed as "In Press", "Submitted" been accounted for?</li> <li>☐ Are all items, including "In Press", "Submitted", and "In Progress" properly numbered?</li> <li>☐ Have all links to supporting documents been verified?</li> </ul>
III.	Job Description  Is an updated job description included if there have been changes since the last review?  If there have not been changes in the job description, does the departmental letter state that fact?
IV.	Safeguard Statement (RB III-5).  The candidate must sign an on-line safeguard which will be forwarded with the departmental recommendation. If it is difficult or impossible to obtain this document, the Chairperson should explain the situation and indicate in what manner they have attempted to meet the requirements outlined in the form.  Has the candidate signed the safeguard statement? The case may not be forwarded until the candidate has signed.  If there are confidential documents (e.g. letters of evaluation), the appropriate box under #5 and #6 should be checked.  Are copies of everything the candidate has provided, or been provided, included with the case (e.g. redacted letters, list of potential evaluators)?
IV.	Supportive documentation  Has a representative sampling of supportive documentation been submitted?